arXiv:1204.2427v1 [math.NT] 11 Apr 2012

SPECIAL VALUES OF ANTICYCLOTOMIC L-FUNCTIONS FOR MODULAR FORMS

MASATAKA CHIDA AND MING-LUN HSIEH

Abstract. In this article, we generalize some works of Bertolini-Darmon and Vatsal on anticyclotomic L-functions attached to modular forms of weight two to higher weight case. We construct a class of anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions for ordinary modular forms and derive the functional equation and the interpolation formula at all critical specializations. Moreover, we prove results on the vanishing of μ-invariant of these p-adic L-functions and the non-vanishing of central L-values with anticyclotomic twists.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Notation and definitions 5
2. Gross points and modular forms on definite quaternion algebras 6
3. Special value formula 8
4. Theta elements and p-adic L-functions 18
5. The non-vanishing of theta elements modulo ℓ 22
6. The comparison between periods 27
References 31

Introduction

The purpose of this article is to generalize works of Bertolini, Darmon and Vatsal on anticycltomic p-adic L-functions attached to modular forms of weight two to higher weight. First, we construct anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions for ordinary modular forms and prove the interpolation property at all critical specializations and the functional equation. In addition, following the ideas of Vatsal, we prove results on the vanishing of the μ-invariant of this anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions and the non-vanishing modulo ℓ of central L-values with anticyclotomic twists. To state our results precisely, we introduce some notation. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an elliptic new form of weight k (even) and conductor N. Let p be a rational prime. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $-D_K < 0$. Then K determines a factorization

$$N = p^{n_p} N^+ N^- \quad (p, N^+N^-) = 1,$$

where N^+ (resp. N^-) is only divisible by primes that are split (resp. inert or ramified) in K. We assume that

$$N^- \text{ is the square-free product of an odd number of primes.}$$

Fix a decomposition $N^+\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{N}^+\overline{\mathfrak{N}^+}$. For each finite prime, let $\epsilon_q(f) \in \{\pm 1\}$ denote the local root number of f, so $\epsilon_q(f) = 1$ if $q \nmid N$, and $\epsilon_q(f)$ is the eigenvalue of Atkin-Lehner involution at q if $q | N$. The global root number $\epsilon(f) := (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_q \epsilon_q(f)$. Let

$$f(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n(f) q^n$$

be the q-expansion of f at the infinity cusp. It is known that $\epsilon_q(f) = -q^{\frac{2-k}{2}}\mathbf{a}_q(f)$ if $q \parallel N$. Let A_p be a complex root of $X^2 - \mathbf{a}_p(f)X + p^{k-1}$ if $p \nmid N$ (or $X^2 - \mathbf{a}_p(f)X$ if $p|N$). Let K_∞^- be the anticyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_p$-extension over K and let $\Gamma^- = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty^-/K)$ be the Galois group. Denote by $\mathrm{rec}_K : \mathbf{A}_K^\times \to G_K^{ab}$ the

---

Date: March 2, 2013.

The first author is partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 23740015 and Hakubi project of Kyoto University. The second author is partly supported by National Science Council grant 100-2115-M-002-012-.

geometrically normalized reciprocity law. Fix embeddings $\iota_\infty : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\iota_p : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$. To each locally algebraic p-adic character $\widehat{\chi} : \Gamma^- \to \mathbf{C}_p^\times$ of (p-adic) weight $(m, -m) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, we can associate a Hecke character $\chi : \mathbf{A}_K^\times / K^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ of (archimedean) weight $(m, -m)$ defined dy

$$\chi(a) := \iota_\infty \iota_p^{-1}(\widehat{\chi}(\mathrm{rec}_K(a))(\overline{a}_p/a_p)^m)(a_\infty/\overline{a}_\infty)^m,$$

where $a_p \in (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p)^\times$ and $a_\infty \in (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$ are the p-component and ∞-component of a. We call $\widehat{\chi}$ the *p-adic avatar* of χ. Let $\mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$ be the set of *critical specializations* consisting of locally algebraic p-adic characters $\widehat{\chi} : \Gamma^- \to \mathbf{C}_p^\times$ of weight $(m, -m)$ with

$$-k/2 < m < k/2.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_f$ be the ring of integers of the Hecke field of f. Fix a prime λ of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_f$ with respect to λ. Suppose that λ is induced by ι_p (so λ has residue characteristic p). Our first theorem is the construction of the anticyclotomic p-adic L-function attached to f with the explicit evaluation formula at critical specializations.

Theorem A. *Suppose that*

(a) *$p > k - 2$,*

(b) *A_p is a λ-adic unit.*

Then there exists a theta element $\Theta_\infty \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\![\Gamma^-]\!]$ and a complex number $\Omega_{f,N^-} \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that for every $\widehat{\chi} \in \mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$ of weight $(m, -m)$ and conductor p^n, we have the following interpolation formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty^2) =& \Gamma(k/2+m)\Gamma(k/2-m) \cdot \frac{L(f/K, \chi, k/2)}{\Omega_{f,N^-}} \cdot e_p(f,\chi)^{2-\mathrm{ord}_p(N)} \cdot p^n A_p^{-2n} (p^n D_K)^{k-2} \\ & \times u_K^2 \sqrt{D_K} \cdot \epsilon_p(f)(-1)^m \prod_{q|(D_K, N^-)} (1 - \epsilon_q(f)) \cdot \chi(\mathfrak{N}^+),\end{aligned}$$

where $u_K = \sharp(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)/2$ and $e_p(f,\chi)$ is the p-adic multiplier given by

$$e_p(f,\chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n > 0, \\ (1 - \chi(\mathfrak{p})p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} A_p^{-1})(1 - \chi(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} A_p^{-1}) & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } p = \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is split}, \\ 1 - p^{k-2} A_p^{-2} & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } p = \mathfrak{p} \text{ is inert}, \\ 1 - \chi(\mathfrak{p}) p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} A_p^{-1} & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } p = \mathfrak{p}^2 \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Remark. (1) The complex number Ω_{f,N^-} is given by

$$\Omega_{f,N^-} = \frac{4^{k-1}\pi^k \|f\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\xi_f(N^+, N^-)},$$

where $\|f\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}$ is the Petersson norm of f and $\xi_f(N^+, N^-) \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$ is an integer connected with certain congruence number of f. The precise definition is given in (4.3). It is interesting and important to make a comparison between Ω_{f,N^-} and Hida's canonical period Ω_f attached to f. In general, we have $\Omega_{f,N^-}/\Omega_f \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$. If $k = 2$, then under a mild hypothesis, Pollack and Weston [PW11] have shown that this ratio is a product of local Tamagawa numbers at primes dividing N^- modulo a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$. We will investigate this subtle problem in §6 for general weight k.

(2) Theorem A indeed gives the construction of the anticyclotomic p-adic L-function that interpolates *square root* of central L-values. In the case $k = 2$, Θ_∞ is precisely the theta element θ_∞ (with trivial tame branch character) given by Bertolini and Darmon [BD96, p.436]. Therefore, combined with the anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for elliptic curves [BD05], the usual control theorem and the comparsion between periods [PW11, Prop. 3.7, Thm. 6.8], the evaluation formula of Θ_∞ at the trivial character yields the optimal upper bound of the size of p-primary Selmer groups of certain elliptic curves over K in terms of central L-values as predicted by Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.

(3) The existence of A_p satisfying the assumption (b) is usually referred to the p-ordinary hypothesis for f, i.e. the p-th Fourier coefficient $\mathbf{a}_p(f)$ is a λ-adic unit. The hypotheses (a) and (b) are only used to assure the p-integrality of Θ_∞, and actually our interpolation formula (See Prop. 4.3) holds without this hypothesis. In particular, we verify [BDIS02, Conj. 2.17], and hence remove the assumption in Theorem 3.4 *loc.cit.*

Let $* : \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\![\Gamma^-]\!] \to \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\![\Gamma^-]\!]$ be the involution defined by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$. Then Θ_∞ satisfies the following functional equation.

Theorem B. *Let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{N}^+}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{N}^+$ in Γ^- under the reciprocity law rec_K. Then we have the functional equation:*

$$\Theta_\infty^* = \epsilon_p(f)\epsilon(f) \cdot \Theta_\infty \cdot \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}^+}^{-1}.$$

Remark. In the case $k = 2$ and $(D_K, N^-) = 1$, this theorem is proved in [BD96, Prop. 2.13] up to an element in Γ^-. The theta element Θ_∞ obviously depends on the choice of an auxiliary decomposition $N^+ = \mathfrak{N}^+\overline{\mathfrak{N}^+}$. The above functional equation suggests that the product $|\Theta_\infty|^2 := \Theta_\infty \cdot \Theta_\infty^*$ be intrinsic. Combined with Theorem A, this verifies the formula of $\chi(|\Theta_\infty|^2)$ in [BD96, Conjecture 2.12]. If χ is ramified character of finite order and $p \nmid D_K N$, then the formula of $\chi(|\Theta|^2)$ actually is a consequence of Gross special value formulae first obtained by B. Gross in a special case $k = 2$ and N is a prime and generalized by Shouwu Zhang [Zha04, Thm. 7.1] for $k = 2$ and Haiping Yuan [Yua05] for $k > 2$.

In [Vat03, Thm. 1.1], Vatsal determines the μ-invariant of anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions for modular forms of weight two, which plays an important role in the proof of Iwasawa main conjecture for elliptic curves in the recent work of Skinner-Urban [SU10]. They actually prove the main conjecture for modular forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight $k \equiv 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\; p-1)$ [SU10, Thm. 3.6.4], and it seems that the assumption $k \equiv 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\; p-1)$ would be lifted if Vatsal's result could be generalized to modular forms of general weights. Our second theorem provides such a partial generalization.

Theorem C. *Let $\rho_{f,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda})$ be the Galois representation associated to f. With the assumptions in Theorem A, suppose further*

(1) $(D_K, N^-) = 1$,

(2) the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Then the Iwasawa μ-invariant of Θ_∞ vanishes.

Now we suppose that λ has residue characteristic $\ell \neq p$ and consider the problem of non-vanishing modulo λ of central L-values with anticyclotomic twists. We obtain the following result, which is a partial improvement as well as a generalization of [Vat03, Thm. 1.2].

Theorem D. *Suppose that $p^2 \nmid N$ and $(D_K, N^-) = 1$. Let ℓ be a rational prime such that*

(1) $\ell \nmid pND_K$ and $\ell > k-2$,

(2) $\overline{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Then for all but finitely characters $\chi : \Gamma^- \to \mu_{p^\infty}$, we have

$$\frac{L(f/K, \chi, k/2)}{\Omega_{f,N^-}} \not\equiv 0 \,(mod\; \lambda).$$

Remark. Theorem D removes the assumption on $p \nmid D_K$ and the p-indivisibility of the class number of K in [Vat02, Thm. 1.4]. Moreover, it shows the finiteness of the ℓ-primary Selmer groups of elliptic curves over K_∞ in virtue of [LV10].

The construction of the theta element Θ_∞ follows the classical approach of Bertolini and Darmon. We briefly describe as follows. Let B be the definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ of the discriminant $-N^-$ and let R be an Eichler order of level N/N^-. Let $\varphi_f : B^\times \backslash B_{\mathbf{A}}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbf{C}^2)$ be a vector-valued automorphic new form on B attached to f via Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. For each positive integer n, let $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbf{Z} + p^n\mathcal{O}_K$ be the order of K of conductor p^n and let $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathrm{Gal}(H_n/K)$ be the Galois group of the ring-class field of K of conductor p^n. Then the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}\,\mathcal{O}_n$ will be called *Gross points* of level p^n, which is a homogeneous space of $\mathcal{G}_n$. Our hypothesis on N assures that there exists an optimal embedding $\iota_n : K \to B$ with respect to $(\mathcal{O}_n, R)$, which in turn induces a map $\iota_n : \mathrm{Pic}\,\mathcal{O}_n \to B^\times \backslash B_{\mathbf{A}}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times$. Fix a distinguished point $P_n \in \mathrm{Pic}\,\mathcal{O}_n$ and let $P_n^\dagger$ be the *regularized* Gross point (See §4.2). Under the ordinary assumption, it can shown that φ_f can be normalized so that φ_f takes value in $\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$ at regularized Gross points. Define

$$\vec{\Theta}_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_n} \sigma \otimes \varphi_f(\iota_n(\sigma(P_n^\dagger))) \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\mathcal{G}_n] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}^2).$$

Then $\left\{\vec{\Theta}_n\right\}_n$ is compatible with respect to the natural quotient $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}\to\mathcal{G}_n$. Then we obtain $\vec{\Theta}_\infty$ by taking the limit $\left\{\vec{\Theta}_n\right\}_n \in \mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\![\mathcal{G}_\infty]\!]\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}^2)$, where $G_\infty = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_n$. The Galois group $\Gamma^- = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty^-/K)$ is the maximal $\mathbf{Z}_p$-free quotient of $\mathcal{G}_\infty$. Let $\vec{\Theta}_\infty^{\mathbf{1}}\in\Lambda$ be projection of $\vec{\Theta}_\infty$ obtained by the quotient map $\mathcal{G}_\infty\to\Gamma^-$. The module $\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}^2)$ has a natural $\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$-basis $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}$ indexed by integers $-k/2<j<k/2$ (See (2.9)), and we can write

$$\vec{\Theta}_\infty^{\mathbf{1}} = \sum_{-k/2<j<k/2} \Theta_\infty^{[j]}\otimes\mathbf{v}_i \quad (\Theta_\infty^{[j]}\in\Lambda=\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}[\![\Gamma^-]\!]).$$

If χ has weight $(m,-m)$ with $-k/2<m<k/2$, the evaluation of $\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty^{[m]})^2$ indeed can be translated to an explicit Waldspurger's formula. More precisely, write

$$\varphi_f = \sum_{-k/2<j<k/2}\varphi_f^{[j]}\otimes\mathbf{v}_j.$$

Each $\varphi_f^{[j]}: B^\times\backslash B_{\mathbf{A}}^\times\to\mathbf{C}$ is an automorphic form on $B^\times$. Let $\varphi_f^\dagger$ be the *p-stabilization* of $\varphi_f^{[m]}$ with respect to A_p. Then $\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty^{[m]})$ is essentially the global toric period given by

$$P(\varphi_f^\dagger,\chi)=\int_{K^\times\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\times\backslash\mathbf{A}_K^\times}\varphi_f^\dagger(\iota_n(t))\chi(t)dt.$$

The evaluation of $P(\varphi_f^\dagger,\chi)^2$ is a product of local toric period integrals by the *fundamental* formula of Waldspurger [Wal85, Prop. 7]. We make explicit calculation of these local integrals. The new input is the calculation of the local toric integral of the p-stabilized local new vector at p. It is no surprise that the p-adic multiplier $e_p(f,\chi)$ is contributed by this local integral. The theta element in Theorem A is defined to be $\Theta_\infty := \Theta_\infty^{[0]}$. Note that Waldspurger's formula only computes $\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty)$ for *finite order* characters χ. To obtain the formula of $\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty)$ for all characters $\widehat{\chi}\in\mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$, we show that $\widehat{\chi}(\Theta_\infty^{[m]})$ actually is independent of m by the congruences among $\Theta_\infty^{[m]}$ (Cor. 4.5).

The proof of Theorem C is based on the uniform distribution of CM points in the zero dimensional Shimura variety attached to the definite quaternion algebra B, which is the idea of Vatsal in his study on the non-vanishing of anticyclotomic central L-values of weight two modular forms. In the higher weight situation, our idea is to use the congruences among modular forms. Roughly speaking, we construct a weight two $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$-valued modular form $\mathbf{f}_p$ such that the evaluations of $\mathbf{f}_p$ and $\varphi_f^{[0]}$ at Gross point are congruent to each other. We thus reduce the problem to $\mathbf{f}_p$, for which the approach of Vatsal can be applied. Since the form $\mathbf{f}_p$ is not a new form in general, we have to use a stronger uniform distribution result [CV05, Prop. 2.10] and slightly generalized Ihara's lemma (Prop. 5.5). The proof of Theorem D is based on the same idea combined with a Galois average trick.

This paper is organized as follows. After fixing basic notation and definitions in §1, we give a brief review of modular forms on definite quaternion algebras and an adelic description of Gross points in §2. In §3, we give the explicit calculation of the toric periods of p-stabilized modular forms based on Waldspurger's formula (Prop. 3.5). The local toric integral at p is carried out in Prop. 3.10, and the final formula is summarized in Theorem 3.11. In §4, we give the construction of theta elements (Def. 4.1). The functional equation is proved in Theorem 4.8, and the evaluation formula Theorem 4.6 (Theorem A) is obtained by combining Prop. 4.3 and the congruence property Cor. 4.5 among theta elements. In §5, after preparing a key result of Vatsal-Cornut on the uniform distribution of CM points and Ihara's lemmas, we prove our Theorem C (Theorem 5.7) and Theorem D (Theorem 5.9). Finally, in §6 we give a sufficient condition (Prop. 6.2) under which the complex number Ω_{f,N^-} equals Hida's canonical periods Ω_f up to a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{f,\lambda}$, applying techniques of Wiles, Taylor-Wiles and Diamond in their proofs of modularity lifting theorems.

Acknowledgments. This project was initiated when the first author visited Taida Institute of Mathematical Science. He would like to thank for their hospitality.

1. Notation and definitions

1.1. If L is a number field, $\mathcal{O}_L$ is the ring of integers of L, $\mathbf{A}_L$ is the adele of L and $\mathbf{A}_{L,f}$ is the finite part of $\mathbf{A}_L$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $\psi = \prod \psi_q$ be the standard additive character of $\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{Q}$ such that $\psi(x_\infty) = \exp(2\pi i x_\infty)$, $x_\infty \in \mathbf{R}$.

For each rational prime ℓ, we will fix once and for all an embedding $\iota_\infty : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and an isomorphism $\iota : \mathbf{C} \simeq \mathbf{C}_\ell$, where $\mathbf{C}_\ell$ is the completion of an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$. Let $\iota_\ell = \iota\iota_\infty : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\ell$ be their composition. Let $\mathrm{ord}_\ell : \mathbf{C}_p \to \mathbf{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the ℓ-adic valuation on $\mathbf{C}_\ell$ normalized so that $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(\ell) = 1$. We regard L as a subfield in $\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}_\ell$) via ι_∞ (resp. ι_ℓ) and $\mathrm{Hom}(L, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(L, \mathbf{C}_\ell)$.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ be the ring of algebraic integers of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ and let $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_\ell$ be the ℓ-adic completion of $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ in $\mathbf{C}_\ell$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ the finite completion of $\mathbf{Z}$. For an abelian group M, let $\widehat{M} := M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$.

1.2. Measures on local fields. We fix some general notation and conventions on local fields used in §3. Let q be a place of $\mathbf{Q}$ and let F be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_q$. If F is non-archimedean, we usually denote by ϖ_F a uniformizer of F. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_F$ the ring of integers of F. Let D_F be the discriminant of $F/\mathbf{Q}_q$. Let $|\cdot|_F$ be the absolute value of F normalized by $|x|_F = \left|\mathrm{N}_{F/\mathbf{Q}_q}(x)\right|_q$. We often simply write $|x| = |x|_F$ for $x \in F$ if it is clear from the context without possible confusion. Let $\psi : \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{Q} \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ be the additive character such that $\psi(x_\infty) = \exp(2\pi i x)$. Let ψ_q be the local component of ψ at q and let $\psi_F := \psi_q \circ \mathrm{T}_{F/\mathbf{Q}_q}$, where $\mathrm{T}_{F/\mathbf{Q}_q}$ is the trace from F to $\mathbf{Q}_q$.

Let dx be the Haar measure on F self-dual with respect to the pairing $(x, x') \mapsto \psi_F(xx')$. If F is non-archimedean, then $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_F, dx) = |D_F|_{\mathbf{Q}_q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We recall the definition of the local zeta function $\zeta_F(s)$. If F is non-archimedean, then

$$\zeta_F(s) = \frac{1}{1 - |\varpi_F|_F^s}.$$

If F is archimedean, then

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{R}}(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2);\ \zeta_{\mathbf{C}}(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(s) := 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s).$$

The Haar measure $d^\times x$ on $F^\times$ is normalized by

$$d^\times x = \zeta_F(1)\,|x|_F^{-1}\,dx.$$

In particular, if $F = \mathbf{R}$, then dx is the Lebesgue measure and $d^\times x = |x|_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\,dx$, and if $F = \mathbf{C}$, then dx is twice the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{C}$ and $d^\times x = 2\pi^{-1} r^{-1} dr d\theta$ ($x = re^{i\theta}$).

1.3. ***L*-functions.** Let F be a non-archimedean local field. Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let $L(s, \pi)$ and $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi_F)$ be the associated local L-function and local epsilon factor respectively ([JL70, Thm. 2.18 (iv)]).

Let E be a quadratic extension of F. We write π_E for the base change of π. Let $\mu, \nu : F^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ be two characters of $F^\times$. Suppose that either $\pi = \pi(\mu, \nu)$ is a principal series if $\mu\nu^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm}$ or $\pi = \sigma(\mu, \nu)$ is a special representation if $\mu\nu^{-1} = |\cdot|$. Let $\chi : E^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ be a character. We recall the definition of local L-functions $L(s, \pi_E \otimes \chi)$ ([Jac72, §20]). If $E = F \oplus F$, then we write $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : F^\times \oplus F^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ and put

$$L(s, \pi_E \otimes \chi) = \begin{cases} L(s, \pi \otimes \chi_1)L(s, \pi \otimes \chi_2) & \text{if } \mu\nu^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm}, \\ L(s, \mu\chi_1)L(s, \mu\chi_2) & \text{if } \mu\nu^{-1} = |\cdot|. \end{cases}$$

If E is a field, then

$$L(s, \pi_E \otimes \chi) = \begin{cases} L(s, \mu'\chi)L(s, \nu'\chi) & \text{if } \mu\nu^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm}, \\ L(s, \mu'\chi) & \text{if } \mu\nu^{-1} = |\cdot|. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mu' = \mu \circ \mathrm{N}_{E/F}$, $\nu' = \nu \circ \mathrm{N}_{E/F}$ are characters of $E^\times$.

1.4. Whittaker functions on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$**.** Let q be a place of $\mathbf{Q}$ and let π be an admissible irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ with the trivial central character. We let $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ be the Whittaker model of π attached to the additive character $\psi=\psi_q:\mathbf{Q}_q\to\mathbf{C}^\times$. Recall that $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ is a subspace of smooth functions $W:\mathrm{GL}_2(F)\to\mathbf{C}$ such that

1. $W(\begin{pmatrix}1 & x\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}g)=\psi(x)W(g)$ for all $x\in\mathbf{Q}_q$.
2. If q is the archimedean place, $W(\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})=O(|a|^M)$ for some positive number M.

If $\mathbf{Q}_q$ is non-archimedean and N is a positive integer, we put

$$U_0(N)_q=\left\{g=\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q)\mid c\in N\mathbf{Z}_q\right\}.$$

Let c be the conductor of π. Let W_π be the *normalized Whittaker newform* characterized by $W_\pi(1)=1$ and $W_\pi(gu)=W_\pi(g)$ for all $u\in U_0(c)_q$. If $\mathbf{Q}_q=\mathbf{R}$ and π is a discrete series of weight k, then the normalized Whittaker new form $W_\pi\in\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ is defined by

$$W_\pi(z\begin{pmatrix}a & x\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{pmatrix})=a^{\frac{k}{2}}e^{-2\pi a}\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{R}_+}(a)\cdot\mathrm{sgn}(z)^k\psi(x)e^{ik\theta}$$

$$(a,z\in\mathbf{R}^\times,\ x,\theta\in\mathbf{R}).$$

Recall that the zeta integral $\Psi(s,W,\chi)$ for $W\in\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ and a character $\chi:\mathbf{Q}_q^\times\to\mathbf{C}^\times$ is defined by

$$\Psi(s,W,\chi)=\int_{\mathbf{Q}_q^\times}W(\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\chi(a)\,|a|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\,d^\times a\quad(s\in\mathbf{C}).$$

Then $\Psi(s,W,\chi)$ converges absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}\,s\gg 0$ and has meromorphic continuation to the whole $s\in\mathbf{C}$. If χ is unramified, then

$$\Psi(s,W_\pi,\chi)=L(s,\pi\otimes\chi). \tag{1.1}$$

2. GROSS POINTS AND MODULAR FORMS ON DEFINITE QUATERNION ALGEBRAS

2.1. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field with the discriminant $-D_K<0$ and let $\delta=\sqrt{-D_K}$. Write $z\mapsto\overline{z}$ for the complex conjugation on K. Define $\boldsymbol{\theta}\in K$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}=\frac{D'+\delta}{2},\ D'=\begin{cases}D_K & \text{if } 2\nmid D_K,\\ D_K/2 & \text{if } 2\mid D_K.\end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_K=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is a local uniformizer of primes that are ramified in K. Fix positive integers N^+ that are only divisible by prime split in K and N^- that are only divisible by primes inert or ramified in K.

We assume that

$$N^-\text{ is the square-free product of an odd number of primes.}$$

Let B be the definite quaternion over $\mathbf{Q}$ which is ramified precisely at the prime factors of N^- and the archimedean place. We can regard K as a subalgebra of B. Write T and N for the reduced trace and norm of B respectively. Let $G=B^\times$ be the algebraic group over $\mathbf{Q}$ and let $Z=\mathbf{Q}^\times$ be the center of G. Fix a distinguished rational prime p such that

$$p\nmid N^+N^-.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime of K above p induced by $\iota_p:K\hookrightarrow\mathbf{C}_p$. Choose a basis of $B=K\oplus K\cdot J$ over K such that

- $J^2=\beta\in\mathbf{Q}^\times$ with $\beta<0$ and $Jt=\overline{t}J$ for all $t\in K$.
- $\beta\in(\mathbf{Z}_q^\times)^2$ for all $q\mid pN^+$ and $\beta\in\mathbf{Z}_q^\times$ for $q|D_K$.

Fix a square root $\sqrt{\beta}\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ of β. For each finite place $q|pN^+$, we define the isomorphism $i_q:B_q\simeq M_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ by

$$i_q(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & -\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix};\quad i_q(J)=\sqrt{\beta}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}-1 & \mathrm{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\quad(\sqrt{\beta}\in\mathbf{Z}_q^\times).$$

For each finite place $q\nmid pN$, we fix an isomorphism $i_q:B_q:=B\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Q}_q\simeq M_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ such that

$$i_q(J)\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q),\ i_q((\mathcal{O}_K\otimes\mathbf{Z}_q)^\times)\subset\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q). \tag{2.1}$$

Hereafter, we shall identify B_q and $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ with $M_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ via i_q for finite $q \nmid N^-$. Finally, we define

$$\begin{aligned} &i_K : B \hookrightarrow M_2(K) \\ &a + bJ \mapsto i_K(a + bJ) := \begin{pmatrix} a & b\beta \\ \overline{b} & \overline{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in K) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and let $i_{\mathbf{C}} : B \to M_2(\mathbf{C})$ be the composition $i_{\mathbf{C}} = \iota_\infty \circ i_K$

2.2. Optimal embeddings and Gross points. Fix a decomposition $N^+\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{N}^+\overline{\mathfrak{N}^+}$ once and for all. For each finite place $q \nmid p$, we define $\varsigma_q \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_q =& 1 \text{ if } q \nmid pN^+, \\ \varsigma_q =& \delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta} & \overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(K_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q) \text{ if } q = \mathfrak{q}\overline{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ is split with } \mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{N}^+. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For $g \in B$, we put

$$\iota_{\varsigma_q}(g) := i_q^{-1}(\varsigma_q^{-1} i_q(g) \varsigma_q).$$

If $q | N^+$ and $t = (t_1, t_2) \in K_q := K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_q = K_{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus K_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$, then

$$\iota_{\varsigma_q}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

For each non-negative integer n, we choose $\varsigma_p^{(n)} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ as follows. If $p = \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits in K, we put

$$\varsigma_p^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p). \tag{2.5}$$

If p is inert or ramified in K, then we put

$$\varsigma_p^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

Define $x_n : \mathbf{A}_K^\times \to G(\mathbf{A})$ by

$$x_n(a) := a \cdot \varsigma^{(n)} \quad (\varsigma^{(n)} := \varsigma_p^{(n)} \prod_{q \neq p} \varsigma_q). \tag{2.7}$$

This collection $\{x_n(a)\}_{a \in \mathbf{A}_K^\times}$ of points is called *Gross points* of conductor p^n associated to K.

Let $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbf{Z} + p^n\mathcal{O}_K$ be the order of K of conductor p^n. For each positive integer M prime to N^-, we denote by R_M the Eichler order of level M with respect to the isomorphisms $\{i_q : B_q \simeq M_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)\}_{q \nmid N^-}$. It is not difficult to verify immediately that the inclusion map $K \hookrightarrow B$ is an optimal embedding of $\mathcal{O}_n$ into the Eichler order $B \cap \varsigma^{(n)} \widehat{R}_M (\varsigma^{(n)})^{-1}$. In other words,

$$(B \cap \varsigma^{(n)} \widehat{R}_M (\varsigma^{(n)})^{-1}) \cap K = \mathcal{O}_n. \tag{2.8}$$

2.3. Modular forms on definite quaternion algebras. Let $k \geq 2$ be an even integer. For a ring A, we denote by $L_k(A) = \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(A^2)$ the set of the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k-2$ with coefficients in A. We write

$$L_k(A) = \bigoplus_{-\frac{k}{2} < m < \frac{k}{2}} A \cdot \mathbf{v}_m \quad (\mathbf{v}_m := X^{\frac{k-2}{2} - m} Y^{\frac{k-2}{2} + m}). \tag{2.9}$$

We let $\rho_k : \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \to \mathrm{Aut}_A L_k(A)$ be the unitary representation defined by

$$\rho_k(g) P(X, Y) = \det(g)^{-\frac{k-2}{2}} \cdot P((X, Y)g) \quad (P(X, Y) \in L_k(A)).$$

If A is a $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra with $p > k - 2$, we define a perfect pairing $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_k : L_k(A) \times L_k(A) \to A$ by

$$\langle \sum_i a_i \mathbf{v}_i, \sum_j b_j \mathbf{v}_j \rangle_k = \sum_{-k/2 < m < k/2} a_m b_{k-2-m} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{k-2}{2} + m} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + m)\Gamma(k/2 - m)}{\Gamma(k - 1)}.$$

This pairing is $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$-equivariant, i.e. For $P, P' \in L_k(A)$, we have

$$\langle \rho_k(g)P, \rho_k(g)P'\rangle_k = \langle P, P'\rangle_k.$$

Via the embedding $i_{\mathbf{C}}$ in (2.2), we obtain a representation

$$\rho_{k,\infty} : G(\mathbf{R}) = (B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbf{C}}} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}\, L_k(\mathbf{C}).$$

Then $\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{v}_m$ is the eigenspace on which $\rho_{k,\infty}(t)$ acts by $(\overline{t}/t)^m$ for $t \in (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C})^\times$. If A is a $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra and $U \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is an open-compact subgroup, we denote by $\mathbf{M}_k(U, A)$ be the space of modular forms of weight k defined over A, consisting of functions $f : G(\mathbf{A}_f) \to L_k(A)$ such that

$$f(\alpha g u) = \rho_{k,\infty}(\alpha) f(g) \text{ for all } \alpha \in G(\mathbf{Q}),\ u \in U.$$

The right translation makes $\mathbf{M}_k(A) := \varinjlim_U \mathbf{M}_k(U, A)$ an admissible $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$-representation.

Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ be the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbf{A})$. For $\mathbf{v} \in L_k(\mathbf{C})$ and $f \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{C})$, We define a function $\Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes f) : G(\mathbf{Q})\backslash G(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{C}$ by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes f)(g) := \langle \rho_{k,\infty}(g_\infty)\mathbf{v}, f(g_f)\rangle_k. \tag{2.10}$$

Then the map $\mathbf{v} \otimes f \mapsto \Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes f)$ gives rise to $G(\mathbf{A})$-equivariant morphism $L_k(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{A}(G)$. Let ω be a unitary Hecke character of $\mathbf{Q}$. We let

$$\mathbf{M}_k(U, \omega, \mathbf{C}) = \{f \in \mathbf{M}_k(U, \mathbf{C}) \mid f(zg) = \omega(z) f(g) \text{ for all } z \in Z(\mathbf{A})\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_k(U, \omega, \mathbf{C})$ be the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbf{A})$ of weight k and central character ω, consisting of functions $\Psi(f \otimes \mathbf{v}) : G(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{C}$ for $f \in S_k(U, \omega, \mathbf{C})$ and $\mathbf{v} \in L_k(\mathbf{C})$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the trivial character. For each positive integer M, we put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{M}_k(M, \mathbf{C}) =& \mathbf{M}_k(\widehat{R}_M^\times, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{C}),\\ \mathcal{A}_k(M, \mathbf{C}) =& \mathcal{A}_k(\widehat{R}_M^\times, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{C}).\end{aligned}$$

3. SPECIAL VALUE FORMULA

3.1. Global setting. Let π be an unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})$ with trivial central character. Henceforth, we make the following assumptions:

- The archimedean constitute π_∞ is a discrete series of weight k;
- The conductor of π is $N = p^{n_p} N^+ N^-$;
- $\mathrm{ord}_p(N) = n_p \leq 1 \iff p^2 \nmid N$.

Let $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_q$ be the unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation on $G(\mathbf{A})$ with trivial central character attached to π via Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Then we have

1. The archimedean constitute $\pi'_\infty \simeq (\rho_{k,\infty}, L_k(\mathbf{C}))$ as $G(\mathbf{R})$-modules, and π'_q is a unramified one dimensional representation for $q \mid N^-$.
2. The local constitute $\pi'_p = \pi_p$ is either an unramified principal series $\pi(\mu_p, \nu_p)$ or an unramified special representation $\sigma(\mu_p, \nu_p)$ with $\mu_p \nu_p^{-1} = |\cdot|_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$.

3.2. p-stabilization of new forms. Let π'_f denote the finite constitute of π'. Let

$$N_B = p^{n_p} N^+ = N/N^-$$

and let $R := R_{N_B}$ be the Eichler order of level N_B. The multiplicity one theorem together with our assumptions in particular imply that π'_f can be realized as a *unique* $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$-submodule $\mathbf{M}_k(\pi'_f)$ of $\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathbf{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})[\pi'_f] := \mathbf{M}_k(\pi'_f) \cap \mathbf{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})$ is one dimensional. We fix a nonzero new form $f_{\pi'} \in \mathbf{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})[\pi'_f]$. Throughout this section, we fix an integer m such that

$$-k/2 < m < k/2.$$

Define the automorphic form $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]} \in \mathcal{A}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]} := \Psi(\mathbf{v}_m^* \otimes f_{\pi'}) \quad (\mathbf{v}_m^* = \sqrt{\beta}^{-m} D_K^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_m). \tag{3.1}$$

We shall simply write $\varphi_{\pi'}$ for $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}$ for brevity. Set

$$\alpha_p := \mu_p(p)\,|p|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,.$$

Define the *p-stabilization* $f^\dagger_{\pi'}$ with respect to α_p as follows: If $p \mid N$, let $f^\dagger_{\pi'} = f_{\pi'}$, and if $p \nmid N$, let

$$f^\dagger_{\pi'} = f_{\pi'} - \frac{1}{\alpha_p}\cdot\pi'(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & p\end{pmatrix})f_{\pi'}.$$

For $f \in \mathbf{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})$, recall that the U_p-operator on f is defined by

$$f \mid U_p(g) = \sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}} f(g\begin{pmatrix}p & x\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}).$$

Thus $f^\dagger_{\pi'}$ is an U_p-eigenform with the eigenvalue α_p. Let $\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}$ be the p-stabilization of $\varphi_{\pi'}$ given by

$$\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'} := \Psi(\mathbf{v}^*_m \otimes f^\dagger_{\pi'}). \tag{3.2}$$

By definition, one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}(x_n(\gamma a u)) =&\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}(x_n(a_f))(\overline{a}_\infty/a_\infty)^m\\ &(\gamma\in K^\times, a=(a_\infty,a_f)\in\mathbf{C}^\times\times\widehat{K}^\times,\ u\in\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^\times).\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

3.3. The Petersson inner product of new forms on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})$**.** For each place of $\mathbf{Q}$ and let W_{π_q} be the Whittaker new forms normalized so that $W_{\pi_q}(1) = 1$. Let φ_π be the normalized new form in π. In other words,

$$\varphi_\pi(g) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Q}} W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}g)\quad (W_\pi = \prod_q W_{\pi_q}).$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\tau}^N = \prod_q \boldsymbol{\tau}^N_q \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})$ be the *Atkin-Lehner* element defined by $\boldsymbol{\tau}^N_\infty = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}^N_q = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -N & 0\end{pmatrix}$ if $q \neq \infty$. Let d^tg be the Tamagawa measure on GL_2. We put

$$\langle\varphi_\pi,\varphi_\pi\rangle_{\mathrm{GL}_2} := \int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^\times\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\varphi_\pi(g\boldsymbol{\tau}^N)d^tg.$$

To give a formula of $\langle\varphi_\pi,\varphi_\pi\rangle_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$, we define the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$-equivariant pairing $\mathbf{b}_q : \mathcal{W}(\pi_q,\psi_q)\times\mathcal{W}(\pi_q,\psi_q)\to\mathbf{C}$ by

$$\mathbf{b}_q(W_1,W_2) := \int_{\mathbf{Q}_q^\times} W_1(\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})W_2(\begin{pmatrix}-a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})d^\times a. \tag{3.4}$$

The convergence of this integral follows from the fact that π_q is the local constitute of a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation. Let $\|\varphi_\pi\|_q$ be the *local norm* of φ_π at q defined by

$$\|\varphi_\pi\|_q := \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(2)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(1)L(1,\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_q)}\cdot\mathbf{b}_q(W_{\pi_q},\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}^N_q)W_{\pi_q}). \tag{3.5}$$

It is not difficult to deduce from [Wal85, Prop. 5] that

$$\langle\varphi_\pi,\varphi_\pi\rangle_{\mathrm{GL}_2} = \frac{2L(1,\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)}\cdot\prod_q\|\varphi_\pi\|_q. \tag{3.6}$$

Define the local root number $\epsilon(\pi_q)$ by

$$\epsilon(\pi_q) := \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\pi_q,\psi_q) \in \{\pm1\}\,.$$

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 3.1. *Let q be a finite place. We have* $\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}^N_q)W_{\pi_q} = \epsilon(\pi_q)\cdot W_{\pi_q}$.

Proof. We suppress the subscript q and write $\pi = \pi_q$ and $\psi = \psi_q$ for brevity. Since $\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi$ is also a nonzero new vector of π, we find that $\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi = C \cdot W_\pi$ for some constant $C \in \mathbf{C}^\times$. Recall that we have the local functional equation [JL70, Thm. 2.18 (iv)]:

$$\frac{\Psi(1-s, \widehat{W}, \mathbf{1})}{L(1-s,\pi)} = \epsilon(s,\pi,\psi) \cdot \frac{\Psi(s, W, \mathbf{1})}{L(s,\pi)},$$

where $\widehat{W}(g) := W(g\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}) \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$. To evaluate C, we compute the zeta integral:

$$\begin{aligned} C \cdot \Psi(s, W_\pi, \mathbf{1}) &= \Psi(s, \pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \int_{F^\times} \pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\, |a|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\, d^\times a \\ &= |N|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_{F^\times} \pi(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix})W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\, |a|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\, d^\times a \\ &= |N|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Psi(s, \widehat{W}_\pi, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= |N|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \epsilon(1-s,\pi,\psi)\frac{L(s,\pi)}{L(1-s,\pi)} \cdot \Psi(1-s, W_\pi, \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.1) that

$$C = |N|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \epsilon(1-s,\pi,\psi) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\pi,\psi).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2. *We have* $\|\varphi_\pi\|_q = 1$ *for finite* $q \nmid N$ *and* $\|\varphi_\pi\|_\infty = 2^{-k-1}$. *If* $q|N^-$, *then*

$$\|\varphi_\pi\|_q = \epsilon(\pi_q) \cdot (1+|q|)^{-1}.$$

Proof. The assertions for $q \nmid N$ and $q = \infty$ are straightforward. Suppose that $q|N^-$. Then $\pi = \pi_q$ is a unramified special representation, and $L(1, \mathrm{Ad}\,\pi) = \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(2)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_q(W_\pi, \pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi) &= \int_{F^\times} W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}) \cdot \pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}_q^N)W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})d^\times a \\ &= \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\pi,\psi) \cdot \int_{F^\times} W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})d^\times a \\ &= \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\pi,\psi)L(1, \mathrm{Ad}\,\pi). \end{aligned}$$

□

The Petersson inner product $\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}$ of φ_π is defined by

$$\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)} := \mathrm{vol}(U_0(N), d^t g)^{-1} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{A}^\times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})} |\varphi_\pi(g)|^2\, d^t g,$$

where $U_0(N) = \mathrm{O}(2,\mathbf{R}) \times \prod_{q<\infty} U_0(N)_q$. Note that

$$\mathrm{vol}(U_0(N), d^t g)^{-1} = \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2) N \prod_{q|N}(1+q^{-1}).$$

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3 (Thm. 5.1 [Hid81])**.** *We have*

$$L(1, \mathrm{Ad}\,\pi) = \|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)} \cdot 2^k N^{-1} \cdot \prod_{q|N_B} \frac{\epsilon(\pi_q)}{(1+q^{-1})\|\varphi_\pi\|_q}.$$

Proof. By (3.6) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\langle \varphi_\pi, \varphi_\pi \rangle_{\mathrm{GL}_2} = \frac{2L(1, \mathrm{Ad}\,\pi)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)} \cdot 2^{-k-1} \prod_{q|N^-} \frac{\epsilon(\pi_q)}{1+q^{-1}} \cdot \prod_{q|N_B} \|\varphi_\pi\|_q.$$

On the other hand, it is well known that

$$\varphi_\pi(g\boldsymbol{\tau}^N) = \epsilon(\pi_f)\cdot\overline{\varphi_\pi(g)} \quad (\epsilon(\pi_f) := \prod_{q<\infty}\epsilon(\pi_q)),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\varphi_\pi,\varphi_\pi\rangle_{\mathrm{GL}_2} =&\epsilon(\pi_f)\cdot\mathrm{vol}(U_0(N), d^tg)\cdot\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}\\ =&\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}\cdot\frac{1}{N\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)}\cdot\prod_{q\mid N}\frac{\epsilon(\pi_q)}{1+q^{-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these formulae, we find that

$$L(1,\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi) = 2^kN^{-1}\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}\cdot\prod_{q\mid N_B}\frac{\epsilon(\pi_q)}{(1+q^{-1})\|\varphi_\pi\|_q}. \qquad \square$$

3.4. Local toric integrals. For each place q of $\mathbf{Q}$, denote by π'_q the local constitute of π' at q.

Definition 3.4. Define the new vector $\varphi_q \in \pi'_q$ as follows:

(a) if $q=\infty$, then φ_q is a multiple of $\mathbf{v}_m \in L_k(\mathbf{C}) \simeq \pi'_\infty$,

(b) if $q \mid N^-$, then φ_q is a basis of the one dimensional representation π'_q of $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$,

(c) if $q \nmid N^-$, then φ_q is fixed by $(R\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}_q)^\times \simeq U_0(N)_q$.

Let $\varphi_q^\dagger = \varphi_q$ if either $q \neq p$ or $q = p \mid N$ and let

$$\varphi_q^\dagger = \varphi_q - \frac{1}{\alpha_p}\cdot\pi(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & p\end{pmatrix})\varphi_q \text{ if } q = p \nmid N.$$

Define the local *Atkin-Lehner* element $\tau_q^{N_B} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ as follows: $\tau_q^{N_B} = J$ for $q|\infty N^-$, $\tau_q^{N_B} = 1$ for finite place $q \nmid N$ and $\tau_q^{N_B} = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -N_B & 0\end{pmatrix}$ if $q|N_B$. Let $\tau^{N_B} := \prod\tau_q^{N_B} \in G(\mathbf{A})$. Since π' has trivial central character, π'_q is self-dual. Hence, there exists a non-degenerate $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$-equivariant pairing $\langle\,,\,\rangle_q\colon \pi'_q\times\pi'_q \to \mathbf{C}$. This pairing is unique up to a nonzero scaler.

For $g \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ and a character $\chi : K_q^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$, we define the local toric integral for the new vector φ_q by

$$\mathcal{P}(g,\varphi_q,\chi) = \frac{L(1,\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_q)L(1,\tau_{K_q/\mathbf{Q}_q})}{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(2)L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_{K_q}\otimes\chi)}\cdot\int_{K_q^\times/\mathbf{Q}_q^\times}\frac{\langle\pi'(tg)\varphi_q^\dagger,\pi'(Jg)\varphi_q^\dagger\rangle_q}{\langle\varphi_q,\pi'(\tau_q^{N_B})\varphi_q\rangle_q}\cdot\chi(t_q)dt_q. \tag{3.7}$$

An important observation is that the number $\mathcal{P}(g,\varphi_q,\chi)$ does not reply on the choice of the pairing $\langle\,,\,\rangle_q$, only depending on χ and the line spanned by φ_q.

3.5. Waldspurger's formula. Let $\chi : K^\times\backslash\mathbf{A}_K^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ be an anticyclotomic Hecke character of archimedean weight $(m,-m)$. Namely,

$$\chi|_{\mathbf{A}^\times} = \mathbf{1} \text{ and } \chi_\infty(z) = \left(\frac{z}{\overline{z}}\right)^m \quad (-k/2 < m < k/2). \tag{crit}$$

Let π_K be the automorphic representation on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_K)$ via the quadratic base change of π and let $L(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi)$ be the automorphic L-function of $\pi_K\otimes\chi$, which satisfies the functional equation

$$L(1-s,\pi_K\otimes\chi) = \epsilon(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi)L(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi),$$

where $\epsilon(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi) = \prod_q\epsilon(s,\pi_{K_q}\otimes\chi_q,\psi_{K_q})$ is the product of local epsilon factors. Let $\mathcal{A}(\pi')$ be the automorphic realization of π' in $\mathcal{A}(G)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(\pi')$ and $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$, define the global toric period integral by

$$P(g,\varphi,\chi) := \int\limits_{K^\times\mathbf{A}^\times\backslash\mathbf{A}_K^\times}\varphi(tg)\chi(t)dt.$$

Here dt is the Tamagawa measure. For $\varphi_1,\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\pi')$, we define the $G(\mathbf{A})$-equivariant pairing:

$$\langle\varphi_1,\varphi_2\rangle_G = \int_{G(\mathbf{Q})Z(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)dg,$$

where dg is the Tamagawa measure on G/Z. By the new form theory, $\varphi_{\pi'}$ is characterized uniquely up to a scaler by the equations $\pi'(\widehat{R}^\times)\varphi_{\pi'} = \varphi_{\pi'}$ and $\pi'_\infty(t)\varphi_{\pi'} = (\overline{t}/t)^m\varphi_{\pi'}$ for $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$, so we have $\pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}(g) = C \cdot \overline{\varphi_{\pi'}(g)}$ for some constant $C \in \mathbf{C}^\times$. This in particular implies that

$$\langle \varphi_{\pi'}, \pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}\rangle_G \neq 0.$$

Since $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_G$ is a nonzero multiple of the product $\otimes_q \langle\, ,\, \rangle_q$, we have

$$\langle \varphi_q, \pi'(\tau_q^{N_B})\varphi_q\rangle_q \neq 0 \text{ for each place } q. \tag{3.8}$$

We shall make use of the following version of Waldspurger's formula, which expresses the global toric period integral as a product of local toric integrals.

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$\frac{P(\varsigma^{(n)}, \varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}, \chi)^2}{\langle \varphi_{\pi'}, \pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}\rangle_G} = \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)}{2L(1, \operatorname{Ad}\pi)} \cdot L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \chi) \cdot \prod_q \mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)}, \varphi_q, \chi_q),$$

where q runs over all places of $\mathbf{Q}$.

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $i : \pi' \simeq \otimes_q \pi'_q$ such that $i(\varphi_{\pi'}) = \otimes_q \varphi_q$ for φ_q chosen in Def. 3.4. Set $\varphi_1 = \pi'(\varsigma^{(n)})\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}$, $\varphi_2 = \pi'(J\varsigma^{(n)})\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}$, $\varphi_3 = \varphi_{\pi'}$ and $\varphi_4 = \pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}$. Let $i(\varphi_i) = \otimes_q \varphi_{i,q}$. rLet $\overline{\chi}$ be the character defined by $\overline{\chi}(t) = \chi(\overline{t})$. Note that $P(1, \varphi_1, \chi) = P(\varsigma^{(n)}, \varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}, \chi)$ and $P(1, \varphi_2, \overline{\chi}) = P(\varsigma^{(n)}, \varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}, \chi)$. It follows from Waldspurger's formulae [Wal85, Prop. 4, Prop. 5, Lemme 7] that if $\langle \varphi_3, \varphi_4\rangle_G \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{P(1, \varphi_1, \chi)P(1, \varphi_2, \overline{\chi})}{\langle \varphi_3, \varphi_4\rangle_G} = \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \chi)}{2L(1, \operatorname{Ad}\pi)} \cdot \prod_q P_q(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \chi),$$

where

$$P_q(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \chi) = \frac{L(1, \operatorname{Ad}\pi_q)L(1, \tau_{K_q/\mathbf{Q}_q})}{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{K_q} \otimes \chi_q)\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(2)} \cdot \int_{K_q^\times/\mathbf{Q}_q^\times} \frac{\langle \pi'(t_q)\varphi_{1,q}, \varphi_{2,q}\rangle_q}{\langle \varphi_{3,q}, \varphi_{4,q}\rangle_q} \cdot \chi(t_q)dt_q.$$

Moreover, $P_q(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \chi) = 1$ for all but finitely many q. The proposition follows immediately. □

Let $Cl(R)$ be a set of representatives of $B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$ in $\widehat{B}^\times = G(\mathbf{A}_f)$. Define the inner product of $f_{\pi'}$ by

$$\langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'}\rangle_R := \sum_{g \in Cl(R)} \frac{1}{\sharp\Gamma_g} \cdot \langle f_{\pi'}(g), f_{\pi'}(g\tau^{N_B})\rangle_k \quad (\Gamma_g := (B^\times \cap g\widehat{R}^\times g^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times)/\mathbf{Q}^\times). \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\epsilon_q(\pi_K, \chi) := \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{K_q} \otimes \chi_q, \psi_{K_q}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ be the local root number of $\pi_{K_q} \otimes \chi_q$.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that χ is unramified outside p. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\varsigma^{(n)}, \varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}, \chi)^2 \cdot \frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'}\rangle_R} = & \frac{2^{2-k}(-1)^m D_K^{k-2}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \cdot L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \chi) \cdot \prod_{q \mid (D_K, N^-)} (1 - \epsilon_q(\pi_K, \chi)) \\ & \times \prod_{q \mid pN^+} \mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q, \varphi_q, \chi_q) \cdot \frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_q}{|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathbf{Q}_q} \epsilon(\pi_q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Schur orthogonality relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_{\pi'}, \pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}\rangle_G &= \sum_{g \in Cl(R)} \frac{1}{\sharp\Gamma_g} \cdot \int_{G(\mathbf{R})/Z(\mathbf{R})} \langle \rho_{k,\infty}(g_\infty)\mathbf{v}^*_m, f_{\pi'}(g)\rangle_k \cdot \langle \rho_{k,\infty}(g_\infty J)\mathbf{v}^*_m, f_{\pi'}(g\tau_f^{N_B})\rangle_k dg_\infty \\ &= \sum_{g \in Cl(R)} \frac{1}{\sharp\Gamma_g} \cdot \langle f_{\pi'}(g), f(g\tau^{N_B})\rangle_k \cdot \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}^*_m, \rho_{k,\infty}(J)\mathbf{v}^*_m\rangle_k}{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} L_{k-2}(\mathbf{C})} \\ &= \langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'}\rangle_R \cdot \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2-k}{2}} D_K^{k-2} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{v}_{k-m-2}\rangle_k}{(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Eichler mass formula:

$$\sum_{g\in Cl(R)}\frac{1}{\sharp\Gamma_g}=\frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)}{4\pi}\cdot N\prod_{q|N^-}(1-q^{-1})\prod_{q|N_B}(1+q^{-1}),$$

from which and the fact that the $\mathrm{vol}(G/Z,dg)=2$, we deduce that

$$\langle\varphi_{\pi'},\pi'(\tau^{N_B})\varphi_{\pi'}\rangle_G=\langle f_{\pi'},f_{\pi'}\rangle_R\cdot\frac{(-1)^{\frac{2-k}{2}}D_K^{k-2}\langle\mathbf{v}_m,\mathbf{v}_{k-m-2}\rangle_k}{(k-1)}\cdot\frac{8\pi}{\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(2)N}\cdot\prod_{q|N^-}\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(1)\prod_{q|N_B}(1+q^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{3.10}$$

Combining with Prop. 3.3, Prop. 3.5 and (3.10) , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}P(\varsigma^{(n)},\varphi^{\dagger}_{\pi'},\chi)^2\cdot\frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\langle f_{\pi'},f_{\pi'}\rangle_R}=&\frac{2^{2-k}D_K^{k-2}\pi(-1)^m\Gamma(k/2+m)\Gamma(k/2-m)}{\Gamma(k)}\cdot L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_K\otimes\chi)\\&\times\prod_{q\in S}\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)},\varphi_q,\chi_q)\cdot\prod_{q|N^-}\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(1)\cdot\prod_{q|pN^+}\frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_q}{\epsilon(\pi_q)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We proceed to compute the local toric integrals $\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)},\varphi_q,\chi_q)$ for $q\nmid pN^+$. At the archimedean place, $\pi'_\infty=\rho_{k,\infty}$ and $\chi_\infty(t)=(t/\overline{t})^m$. In addition, $\tau_\infty^{N_B}=J$ and $\varphi_\infty=\mathbf{v}_m$ is characterized by $\rho_{k,\infty}(t)(\mathbf{v}_m)=\chi_\infty(t)^{-1}\mathbf{v}_m$ for $t\in\mathbf{C}^\times$, so we have

$$\frac{\langle\rho_{k,\infty}(t)\mathbf{v}_m,\rho_{k,\infty}(J)\mathbf{v}_m\rangle_k}{\langle\mathbf{v}_m,\rho_{k,\infty}(\tau_\infty^{N_B})\mathbf{v}_m\rangle_k}\cdot\chi_\infty(t)=1\text{ for all }t\in\mathbf{C}^\times=K_\infty^\times.$$

Recall that

$$L(1,\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_\infty)=2^{1-k}\pi^{-(k+1)}\Gamma(k);\ L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_K\otimes\chi)=\Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(k/2+m)\Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(k/2-m).$$

We conclude that

$$\mathcal{P}(1,\mathbf{v}_m,\chi_\infty)=\frac{\Gamma(k)}{2\pi\Gamma(k/2+m)\Gamma(k/2-m)}\cdot\mathrm{vol}(\mathbf{C}^\times/\mathbf{R}^\times,dt)=\frac{\Gamma(k)}{\pi\Gamma(k/2+m)\Gamma(k/2-m)}. \tag{3.12}$$

If $q\nmid pN$, then φ_q is the spherical vector in π_q, and by [Wal85, Lemme 14]

$$\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q,\varphi_q,\chi_q)=|D_K|_q^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Suppose that $q\mid N^-$. Then $\pi'_q=\xi\circ\mathrm{N}$ is the one dimensional representation of a unramified quadratic character $\xi:\mathbf{Q}_q^\times\to\mathbf{C}^\times$, and $\pi_q=\sigma(\xi|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}},\xi|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, and a simple calculation of local root numbers shows that

$$\chi_q(\varpi_{K_q})\xi(q)+\epsilon_q(\pi_K,\chi)=0\text{ and }\epsilon_q(\pi_K,\chi)=-1\text{ if }K_q/\mathbf{Q}_q\text{ is unramified.}$$

Therefore, we find easily that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(1,\varphi_q,\chi_q)=&(1-|q|)\cdot(1+\chi_q(\varpi_{K_q})\xi(q))L(1,\tau_{E/F})\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_E^\times/\mathcal{O}_F^\times,dt)\\=&\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_q}(1)^{-1}\,|D_K|_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\begin{cases}1&\cdots K_q/\mathbf{Q}_q\text{ is unramified,}\\(1-\epsilon_q(\pi_K,\chi))&\cdots K_q/\mathbf{Q}_q\text{ is ramified .}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.11), we obtain the desired formula. □

3.6. Local toric integrals at $q\mid pN^+$. In this subsection, we carry out the computation of the local toric integral $\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)},\varphi_q,\chi_q)$ using the Whittaker model for $q\mid pN^+$. Let $F=\mathbf{Q}_q$ and $E=K_q$ and write $\pi=\pi'_q\simeq\pi_q$, $\chi=\chi_q$ and $\psi=\psi_q$ for brevity. Define the toric integral for Whittaker functions $W\in\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{P}(W,\chi):=\frac{|D_K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}L(1,\tau_{E/F})}{\zeta_F(1)}\cdot\int_{E^\times/F^\times}\mathbf{b}_q(\pi(t)W,\pi(J)W)\cdot\chi(t)dt.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $J_q^{(n)}:=(\varsigma_q^{(n)})^{-1}J\varsigma_q^{(n)}$. For $q|N^+$, we have*

$$\pi(J_q^{(n)})\varphi_q=\epsilon(\pi)\pi(\begin{pmatrix}N^+&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix})\varphi_q.$$

Proof. A straightforward computation shows that

$$J_q^{(n)} = \sqrt{\beta} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } q | N^+.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1 we have $\pi(J_q^{(n)})\varphi_q = \pi(\begin{pmatrix} N^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}^N)\varphi_q = \epsilon(\pi)\pi(\begin{pmatrix} N^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi_q$. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let $q \mid N^+$. Write $q = \mathfrak{q}\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$ in K with $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{N}^+$. If χ is unramified, then we have*

$$\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q, \varphi_q, \chi) \cdot \frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_q}{|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\epsilon(\pi)} = \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{N}^+).$$

Proof. Since $q \nmid p$ is split in E, we have $L(1, \tau_{E/F}) = \zeta_F(1)$, $|D_K| = 1$ and $\varsigma_q^{(n)} = \varsigma_q$. By definition (3.5),

$$\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)}, \varphi_q, \chi) = \frac{1}{\|\varphi_\pi\|_q} \cdot \frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_E \otimes \chi)} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_q)W_\pi, \chi).$$

Write $\chi = (\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}, \chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}})$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_q)W_\pi, \chi) &= \int_{F^\times}\int_{F^\times} W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} at_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} -aN^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(t_1)d^\times a d^\times t_1 \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.7)} \\
&= \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(N^+)\epsilon(\pi)\int_{F^\times}\int_{F^\times} W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(t_1)\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(a)d^\times a d^\times t_1 \\
&= \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(N^+)\epsilon(\pi)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W_\pi, \chi_{\mathfrak{q}})\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W_\pi, \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}) \\
&= \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(N^+)\epsilon(\pi) \cdot L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_E \otimes \chi).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from (1.1). □

We continue to compute the local toric integral at the place $q = p$. Recall that we assume $\pi = \pi_p$ is a unramified principal series $\pi(\mu_p, \nu_p)$ or a special representation $\sigma(\mu_p, \nu_p)$ with unramified character μ_p and $\mu_p\nu_p^{-1} = |\cdot|$. Let $\mathcal{I}_p$ be the Iwahori subgroup given by

$$\mathcal{I}_p = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid c \in p\mathbf{Z}_p \right\}.$$

Define the local Whittaker function $W_p^\dagger \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ by

$$W_p^\dagger(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \mu_p|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(a)\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(a).$$

It is not difficult to see that $W_p^\dagger$ is invariant by $\mathcal{I}_p$ and is an U_p-eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\alpha_p = \mu_p(p)\,|p|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. If π is unramified, then

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) &= |a|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(a)\frac{\mu_p(pa) - \nu_p(pa)}{\mu_p(p) - \nu_p(p)}; \\
W_p^\dagger(g) &= W_\pi(g) - \frac{1}{\alpha_p} \cdot W_\pi(g\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}).
\end{aligned}$$

If π is special, then it is well known that

$$W_\pi = W_p^\dagger \text{ and } \pi(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -p & 0 \end{pmatrix})W_\pi = -\alpha_p W_\pi. \tag{3.15}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_q^{(n)}, \varphi_q, \chi) = \frac{|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\varphi_\pi\|_p} \cdot \frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_E \otimes \chi)} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_q^{(n)})W_p^\dagger, \chi). \tag{3.16}$$

Definition 3.9. Define the p-adic multiplier $e_p(\pi,\chi)$ by

$$e_p(\pi,\chi)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \chi_p \text{ is ramified;}\\ (1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi(\mathfrak{p}))(1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})) & \text{if } \chi_p \text{ is unramified, } p=\mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is split;}\\ 1-\alpha_p^{-2} & \text{if } \chi_p \text{ is unramified, } p=\mathfrak{p} \text{ is inert;}\\ 1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi(\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } \chi_p \text{ is unramified, } p=\mathfrak{p}^2 \text{ is ramified}\end{cases}$$

(*cf.* [BD96, §2.10]).

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that χ has conductor p^s. Let $n=\max\{1,s\}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_E\otimes\chi)}\cdot\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_p^{(n)})W_p^\dagger,\chi)=e_p(\pi,\chi)^{2-\mathrm{ord}_p(N)}\cdot L(1,\tau_{E/F})^2\cdot\begin{cases}\alpha_p^2p^{-2} & \cdots s=0,\\ p^{-s} & \cdots s>0.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (3.16) *we have*

$$\mathcal{P}(\varsigma_p^{(n)},\varphi_p,\chi)\cdot\frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_p}{|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\cdot e_p(\pi,\chi)^{2-\mathrm{ord}_p(N)}\cdot L(1,\tau_{E/F})^2\cdot\begin{cases}\alpha_p^2p^{-2} & \cdots s=0,\\ p^{-s} & \cdots s>0.\end{cases}$$

Proof. For $t\in E$, we put

$$\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(t):=(\varsigma_p^{(n)})^{-1}\iota(t)\varsigma_p^{(n)}.$$

It is easy to see that $\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(J)\in\mathcal{I}_p$ if $n\geq 1$.

Suppose that $p=\mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split in E. Recall that $\delta=\boldsymbol{\theta}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\in\mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}^\times=\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. A direct computation shows that

$$\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(t)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \delta^{-1}p^{-n}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t & \\ 0 & \overline{t}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\delta^{-1}p^{-n}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_p^{(n)})W_p^\dagger,\chi)\\ =&\int_{F^\times}\int_{F^\times}W_p^\dagger(\begin{pmatrix}ax & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\delta^{-1}p^{-n}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})W_p^\dagger(\begin{pmatrix}-a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\delta^{-1}p^{-n}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\chi_\mathfrak{p}(x)d^\times a d^\times x\\ =&\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p}\psi(-\delta^{-1}p^{-n}x)\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)d^\times x\cdot\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p}\psi(\delta^{-1}p^{-n}a)\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(a)d^\times a.\end{aligned}$$

If $n=s\geq 1$, then $L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_E\otimes\chi)=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_p^{(n)})W_p^\dagger,\chi)=&\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times}\psi(-\delta^{-1}p^{-n}x)\chi_\mathfrak{p}(x)d^\times x\cdot\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times}\psi(\delta^{-1}p^{-n}a)\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(a)d^\times a\\ =&\epsilon(1,\chi_\mathfrak{p},\psi)\epsilon(1,\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1},\psi)\cdot\zeta_F(1)^2\\ =&\left|p^n\right|L(1,\tau_{E/F})^2.\end{aligned}$$

If $s=0$ and $n=1$, then χ is unramified and

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_p^{(n)})W_p^\dagger,\chi)&=(\frac{-|p|}{1-|p|}+\frac{\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}{1-\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)})\cdot(\frac{-|p|}{1-|p|}+\frac{\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}{1-\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)})\\ &=\frac{1-\mu_p^{-1}\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}{1-\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}\cdot\frac{1-\mu_p^{-1}\chi_\mathfrak{p}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}{1-\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)}\cdot\zeta_F(1)^2\cdot\mu_p^2(p)\left|p\right|\\ &=\alpha_p^2\left|p\right|^2\cdot\frac{L(\frac{1}{2},\mu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p})L(\frac{1}{2},\mu_p\chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})}{L(\frac{1}{2},\nu_p\chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})L(\frac{1}{2},\nu_p\chi_\mathfrak{p})}\cdot\zeta_F(1)^2\quad(\nu_p=\mu_p^{-1},\ \chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}=\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1})\\ &=\alpha_p^2\left|p\right|^2\cdot L(\frac{1}{2},\pi\otimes\chi)\cdot L(1,\tau_{E/F})^2\cdot\begin{cases}(1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(p))^2(1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-1}(p))^2 & \cdots p\nmid N,\\ (1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(p))(1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-1}(p)) & \cdots p\mid N.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

This proves the formula in the split case.

Now we assume that p is non-split. We introduce the matrix coefficient $\mathbf{m}^\dagger : G(\mathbf{Q}_p) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p) \to \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{m}^\dagger(g) := \mathbf{b}(\pi(g)W_p^\dagger, W_p^\dagger).$$

The function $\mathbf{m}^\dagger(g)$ only depends on the double coset $\mathcal{I}_p g \mathcal{I}_p$, and by definition

$$\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1) = \frac{1}{1-\mu_p^2(p)\,|p|}.$$

Put

$$\boldsymbol{P}^* := \int_{E^\times/F^\times} \mathbf{m}^\dagger(\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(t))\chi(t)dt.$$

It is clear that

$$\boldsymbol{P}(\pi(\varsigma_p^{(n)})W_p^\dagger, \chi) = \boldsymbol{P}^* \cdot \frac{|D_K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, L(1, \tau_{E/F})}{\zeta_F(1)}. \tag{3.17}$$

To compute $\boldsymbol{P}^*$, we make some observations. Let $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For $y \in p^r\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, we have

$$\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(1+y\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathcal{I}_p \mathbf{w} \begin{pmatrix} p^{n-r} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{r-n} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_p \text{ if } 0 \leq r < n \quad (\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

and $\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(1+y\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathcal{I}_p$ if $r \geq n$. For $y \in p\mathbf{Z}_p$, we have

$$\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(y+\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathcal{I}_p \mathbf{w} \begin{pmatrix} p^{n+e} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_p,$$

where $e = 0$ if p is inert and $e = 1$ if p is ramified. Put

$$X_r := \int_{p^r\mathbf{Z}_p} \chi(1+y\boldsymbol{\theta})d'y,$$

where $d'y$ is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbf{Z}_p, d'y) = L(1, \tau_{E/F})\,|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using the decomposition

$$E^\times = F^\times(1+\mathbf{Z}_p\boldsymbol{\theta}) \bigsqcup F^\times(p\mathbf{Z}_p + \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P}^* &= \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \chi(1+y\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{m}^0(\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(1+y\boldsymbol{\theta}))d'y + \int_{p\mathbf{Z}_p} \chi(y+\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{m}^0(\iota_\varsigma^{(n)}(y+\boldsymbol{\theta}))\,|y+\boldsymbol{\theta}|_E^{-1}\,d'y \\ &= X_n \cdot \mathbf{m}^0(1) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}(X_r - X_{r+1})\mathbf{m}^0(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix} p^{n-r} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{r-n} \end{pmatrix}) + Y_0 \cdot \mathbf{m}^0(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix} p^{n+e-1} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-n} \end{pmatrix}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$Y_0 := \int_{p\mathbf{Z}_p} \chi(y+\boldsymbol{\theta})d'y \cdot |\varpi|^{1-e}.$$

Suppose that $n = s \geq 1$. Then it is easy to verify

- $X_r = 0$ if $0 < r < n$,
- $X_0 + Y_0 = 0$ if p is inert, and $X_0 = Y_0 = 0$ if p is ramified.

It follows from (3.18) that

$$\boldsymbol{P}^* = X_n \cdot \mathbf{m}^\dagger(1) + (-X_n) \cdot \mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix}) \quad (X_n = |p^n|\, L(1, \tau_{E/F})\,|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

If π is a unramified principal series, then

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P}^* &= \mathbf{b}(W_\pi - \pi(\begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix})W_\pi, W_p^\dagger) \cdot X_n \\ &= (\frac{\mu_p(p)}{1-\mu_p\nu_p^{-1}|\cdot|(p)} - \frac{\nu_p(p)}{1-|p|}) \cdot \frac{1-\mu_p\nu_p^{-1}|\cdot|(p)}{\mu_p(p)-\nu_p(p)} \cdot X_n \\ &= \zeta_F(1) \cdot |p^n|\, L(1, \tau_{E/F})\,|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If π is special, then by (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{P}^* =& \frac{1+\mu_p\nu_p^{-1}(p)}{1-\mu_p\nu_p^{-1}|\cdot|(p)}\cdot X_n \\ =& \zeta_F(1)\cdot|p^n|\, L(1,\tau_{E/F})\,|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\mu_p\nu_p^{-1}(p)=|p|).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $s=0$ and $n=1$. Then we have

$$\boldsymbol{P}^* = X_1\cdot\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1)+(X_0-X_1)\cdot\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix})+Y_0\cdot\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p^{e+1} & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix}).$$

- If p is inert, then $X_0=1-X_1=L(1,\tau_{E/F})$ and $Y_0=X_1$.
- If p is ramified, then $X_0=|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $Y_0=\chi(\varpi_E)\,|D_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Case (1): p is inert and π is unramified. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{P}^* &= \mathbf{m}^\dagger(1)+X_0\cdot(\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix})-\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\mu_p^2(p)\,|p|}-\frac{1}{1-|p|^2} \\ &= \alpha_p^2\,|p|^2\,(1-\alpha_p^{-2})^2\cdot\zeta_F(1)L(1,\tau_{E/F})\cdot L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_E\otimes\chi)\end{aligned}$$

Case (2): p is inert and π is special. Then $\alpha_p^2=1$, so $e_p(\pi,\chi)=0$ and

$$\boldsymbol{P}^* = \frac{|p|-\alpha_p\mu_p(p)\,|p|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-|p|^2}=0.$$

Case (3): p is ramified and π is unramified. Note that

$$\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p^2 & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix})=\mu|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix}).$$

Let $\beta:=\chi(\varpi_E)\mu_p|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|D_K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\boldsymbol{P}^* &= |p|\cdot\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1)+(1-|p|)\cdot\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix})+\chi(\varpi_E)\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p^2 & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix}) \\ &= (1-|p|+\beta)(\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\mathbf{w}\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{pmatrix})-\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1))+(1+\beta)\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1) \\ &= -\frac{(1-|p|+\beta)}{1-|p|}+\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta^2} \quad (\mu_p^2(p)\,|p|=\beta^2=\alpha_p^2\,|p|^2) \\ &= \alpha_p^2\,|p|^2\cdot(1-\chi(\varpi_E)\alpha_p^{-1})^2\cdot\zeta_F(1)L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_E\otimes\chi).\end{aligned}$$

Case (4): p is ramified and π is special. Then $\alpha_p^2=\chi(\varpi_E)^2=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|D_K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\boldsymbol{P}^* &= |p|\,\mathbf{m}^\dagger(1)+(1-|p|)(-\alpha_p)\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\begin{pmatrix}p^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})+\chi(\varpi_E)(-\alpha_p)\mathbf{m}^\dagger(\begin{pmatrix}p^{-2} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}) \\ &= \frac{|p|^2\,(1-\chi(\varpi_E)\alpha_p)}{1-\mu^2(p)\,|p|}.\end{aligned}$$

We find that if $\chi(\varpi_E)=\alpha_p$, then $\boldsymbol{P}^*=e_p(\pi,\chi)=0$ and if $\chi(\varpi_E)=-\alpha_p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|D_K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\boldsymbol{P}^* =& \frac{2\,|p|^2}{(1-\chi(\varpi_E)\mu_p|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p))(1+\chi(\varpi_E)\mu_p|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}}(p))} \\ =& |p|^2\,(1-\alpha_p^{-1}\chi(\varpi_E))\cdot\zeta_F(1)L(\frac{1}{2},\pi_E\otimes\chi).\end{aligned}$$

The above calculations together with (3.17) completes the proof in the inert or ramified case. □

3.7. Central value formula. We are ready to prove the central value formula connecting the toric period integral of the p-stabilized form $\varphi_{\pi'}^{\dagger}$ in (3.2) and the central L-value of π_K twisted by anticyclotomic characters χ satisfying (crit).

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose that χ has conductor p^s. Let $n = \max\{1, s\}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\varsigma^{(n)}, \varphi_{\pi'}^{\dagger}, \chi)^2 \cdot \frac{\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'}\rangle_R} =& \frac{2^{2-k}(-1)^m D_K^{k-2}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \cdot L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \chi) \cdot e_p(\pi, \chi)^2 \cdot L(1, \tau_{K_p/\mathcal{F}_p})^2 \\ &\times \chi(\mathfrak{N}^+)\epsilon(\pi_p) \prod_{q \mid (D_K, N^-)} (1 - \epsilon_q(\pi_K, \chi)) \cdot \begin{cases} \alpha_p^2 p^{-2} & \cdots s = 0 \\ p^{-s} & \cdots s > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Cor. 3.6, Prop. 3.8 and Prop. 3.10. □

4. THETA ELEMENTS AND p-ADIC L-FUNCTIONS

4.1. ℓ-adic modular forms. Let $\ell \nmid N^-$ be a rational prime. We briefly review ℓ-adic modular forms on $B^\times$. Recall that we have fixed an embedding $\iota_\ell : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}_\ell$. Let λ and $\mathfrak{l}$ be the primes of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ and K induced by ι_ℓ respectively. We let $i_{K_\mathfrak{l}} : B \hookrightarrow M_2(K_\mathfrak{l})$ be the composition $i_{K_\mathfrak{l}} := \iota_\ell \circ i_K$ defined in (2.2). Define $\rho_{k,\ell} : B_p^\times \to \operatorname{Aut} L_{k-2}(\mathbf{C}_\ell)$ by

$$\rho_{k,\ell}(g) := \rho_k(i_{K_\mathfrak{l}}(g)). \tag{4.1}$$

By definition, $\rho_{k,\ell}$ is compatible with $\rho_{k,\infty}$ in the sense that $\rho_{k,\ell}(g) = \rho_{k,\infty}(g)$ for every $g \in B^\times$, and $\rho_{k,\ell}(\widehat{R}^\times) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$. When $\ell = p$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{p}$, it is easy to see that

$$\rho_{k,p}(g) = \rho_k(\gamma_p \iota_p(g) \gamma_p^{-1}),\ \gamma_p := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta} & -\sqrt{\beta}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ -1 & \boldsymbol{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(K_\mathfrak{p}).$$

Let A be a $\mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{l}}$-algebra. For an open compact subgroup $U \subset \widehat{B}^\times$, we put

$$\mathcal{M}_k(U, A) = \left\{ \widehat{f} : \widehat{B}^\times \to L_k(A) \mid \widehat{f}(\alpha g u) = \rho_{k,\ell}(u_\ell^{-1})\widehat{f}(g),\ \alpha \in B^\times,\ u \in U\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times \right\}.$$

Write $\mathcal{M}_k(N_B, A) := \mathcal{M}_k(\widehat{R}^\times, A)$. If $A = A[\frac{1}{\ell}]$, there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{M}_k(N_B, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_k(N_B, A),\ f \mapsto \widehat{f}(g) := \rho_{k,\ell}(g^{-1}) f(g).$$

We call $\widehat{f}$ the *ℓ-adic avatar of* $f \in \mathbf{M}_k(N_B, A)$.

Let $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ be the Hecke field of π. In other words, $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is the finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ generated by Fourier coefficients of the elliptic new form f_π. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell} \subset \mathbf{C}_\ell$ be the completion of the ring of integers of $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ with respect to λ. The $\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}$-module $\mathcal{M}_k(N_B, \mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell})[\pi'_f] := \mathcal{M}_k(N_B, \mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}) \cap \mathcal{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C}_\ell)[\pi'_f]$ has rank one. We say $f_{\pi'} \in \mathbf{M}_k(N_B, \mathbf{C})[\pi'_f]$ is *ℓ-normalized* if its ℓ-adic avatar $\widehat{f}_{\pi'}$ is a generator of $\mathcal{M}_k(N_B, \mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell})[\pi'_f]$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}$. This is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\widehat{f}_{\pi'}(g_0) \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda) \text{ for some } g_0 \in G(\mathbf{A}_f).$$

4.2. Theta elements. Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{G}_n = K^\times \backslash \widehat{K}^\times / \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^\times$ be the Picard group of the order $\mathcal{O}_n$. We identify $\mathcal{G}_n$ with the Galois group of the ring class field of conductor p^n over K via geometrically normalized reciprocity law. Denote by $[\cdot]_n : \widehat{K}^\times \to \mathcal{G}_n$, $a \mapsto [a]_n$ the natural projection map. We consider the automorphic form $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]} = \Psi(\mathbf{v}_m^* \otimes f_{\pi'})$ in (3.1). By (3.3), the function $\widehat{K}^\times \to \mathbf{C}$, $a \mapsto \varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(x_n(a))$ factors through $\mathcal{G}_n$, so we can extend $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}$ linearly to be a function $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]} : \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{G}_n] \to \mathbf{C}$. Let $P_n := [1]_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ be the distinguished Gross point of conductor p^n. By definition,

$$\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(\sigma(P_n)) = \varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(x_n(a)) \text{ if } \sigma = [a]_n \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

We define the *regularized* Gross point $P_n^\dagger$ as follows. If $p \nmid N$, we define $P_n^\dagger$ by the formal sum

$$P_n^\dagger := \frac{1}{\alpha_p^n} \cdot P_n - \frac{1}{\alpha_p^{n+1}} \cdot P_{n-1},$$

and if $p \mid N$, we define $P_n^\dagger = \alpha_p^{-n} \cdot P_n$.

Definition 4.1. The n-th theta element $\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'}) \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{G}_n]$ of weight m is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'}) :=& \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_n} \varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'}(\sigma(P_n^\dagger))\cdot\sigma\\ =&\alpha_p^{-n}\cdot \sum_{[a]_n\in\mathcal{G}_n} (\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger(x_n(a))\cdot[a]_n \quad ((\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger := \Psi(\mathbf{v}_m^*\otimes f^\dagger_{\pi'})).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\pi_{n+1,n}:\mathcal{G}_{n+1}\to\mathcal{G}_n$ be the natural quotient map. We have*

$$\pi_{n+1,n}(\Theta_{n+1}^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'})) = \Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'}).$$

PROOF. This is standard. For $n'>n$, let $K_{n',n} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{G}_{n'}\to\mathcal{G}_n)$. Using the description

$$K_{n',n} = [(\mathcal{O}_n\otimes\mathbf{Z}_p)^\times]_{n'} = \left\{[1+p^n u\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{n'} \mid u\in\mathbf{Z}/p^{n'-n}\mathbf{Z}\right\},$$

we find that

$$\sum_{u\in K_{n+1,n}} (\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger(x_{n+1}(au)) = (\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger \mid U_p(x_n(a)) = \alpha_p\cdot(\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger(x_n(a)).$$

The lemma follows. □

Let $f_\pi\in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be the elliptic new form corresponding to φ_π. The Fourier coefficients of the q-expansion $f_\pi(q)=\sum_{n>0}\mathbf{a}_n(f_\pi)q^n$ at the infinity cusp are given by

$$\mathbf{a}_n(f_\pi) = W_{\pi,f}(\begin{pmatrix} n & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})n^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad (W_{\pi,f} = \prod_{q<\infty} W_{\pi_q}).$$

Let $A_p := p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}\alpha_p$ be a root of the Hecke polynomial $X^2-\mathbf{a}_p(f_\pi)X+p^{k-1}$. Let χ be an anticyclotomic Hecke character of weight $(m,-m)$ for an integer $-k/2<m<k/2$. We are going to give the interpolation formula of $\chi(\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'}))^2$ in terms of the central value of the Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(f_\pi/K,\chi,s)$ attached to f_π and the theta series attached to a finite order character χ. Recall that connection between the automorphic L-function $L(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi)$ and the Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(f_\pi/K,\chi,s)$ is given by

$$L(s,\pi_K\otimes\chi) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(s+\frac{k-1}{2}+m)\Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(s+\frac{k-1}{2}-m)\cdot L(f_\pi/K,\chi,s+\frac{k-1}{2}). \tag{4.2}$$

Define the *period* Ω_{π,N^-} of π' by

$$\Omega_{\pi,N^-} := \frac{4^{k-1}\pi^k\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\langle f_{\pi'},f_{\pi'}\rangle_R}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that χ has the conductor of p^s. For every $n\geq\max\{s,1\}$, we have the interpolation formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'})^2) =&\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}+m)\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}-m)\cdot\frac{L(f_\pi/K,\chi,\frac{k}{2})}{\Omega_{\pi,N^-}}\cdot e_p(\pi,\chi_t\nu)^{2-\mathrm{ord}_p(N)}\cdot A_p^{-2s}(p^sD_K)^{k-1}\\ &\times\frac{u_K^2}{\sqrt{D_K}}\cdot\chi(\mathfrak{N}^+)\epsilon(\pi_p)(-1)^m\prod_{q\mid(D_K,N^-),\,q=\mathfrak{q}^2}(1-\epsilon(\pi_q)\chi(\mathfrak{q})).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We may assume $n=\max\{1,s\}$ by Lemma 4.2. By (3.3) and the definition of theta elements, we have

$$P(\pi'(\varsigma^{(n)}),\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi) = \mathrm{vol}(U_{p^n},dt)\alpha_p^n\cdot\chi(\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'})) \quad (\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'} = (\varphi^{[m]}_{\pi'})^\dagger).$$

Recall that dt is the Tamagawa measure, so

$$\mathrm{vol}(U_{p^n},dt) = \mathrm{vol}(U_K,dt)\cdot L(1,\tau_{K_p/\mathcal{F}_p})\,|p|^n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{D_K}\cdot u_K}L(1,\tau_{K_p/\mathcal{F}_p})p^{-n}.$$

Combining these equations, we find that

$$\chi(\Theta_n^{[m]}(f^\dagger_{\pi'})) = \frac{\sqrt{D_K}\cdot u_K}{2}\cdot\frac{\alpha_p^{-n}p^n}{L(1,\tau_{K_p/\mathcal{F}_p})}\cdot P(\varsigma^{(n)},\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi).$$

Thus, the proposition follows from Theorem 3.11, (4.2) and the formula:

$$\epsilon_q(\pi_K,\chi)=\epsilon(\pi_q)\chi(\mathfrak{q}) \text{ for } q|(D_K,N^-),\ q=\mathfrak{q}^2. \qquad \square$$

4.3. p-adic L-functions. We shall use theta elemetns to construct anticyclotomic p-adic L-functions attached to f and derive the evaluation formulae. We begin with a key observation.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $p>k-2$. Let $A\subset \mathbf{C}_p$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$-algebra. Let $f\in \mathbf{M}_k(N_B,\mathbf{C}_p)$ such that the p-adic avatar $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{M}_k(N_B,A)$ is a p-adic modular form over A. Let*

$$f_{\mathbf{v}_m}:=\Psi(\mathbf{v}_m^*\otimes f)\in\mathcal{A}(G)$$

be defined as in (2.10)*. For $a\in\widehat{K}^\times$, we have*

(1) $p^{n(\frac{k-2}{2})}f_{\mathbf{v}_m}(x_n(a))(\overline{a_p}/a_p)^m\in A$;
(2) the congruence relation

$$p^{n(\frac{k-2}{2})}f_{\mathbf{v}_m}(x_n(a))(\overline{a_p}/a_p)^m\equiv(-\sqrt{\beta})^{\frac{2-k}{2}}\langle(\sqrt{\beta}X-Y)^{k-2},\widehat{f}(x_n(a))\rangle_k\ (mod\ p^nA).$$

Proof. We write $a=(a^{(p)},a_p)\in(\widehat{K}^{(p)})^\times\times K_p^\times$. By definition, we have

$$f_{\mathbf{v}_m}(x_n(a))(\overline{a_p}/a_p)^m=\langle\rho_{k,p}((\varsigma_p^{(n)})^{-1})\mathbf{v}_m^*,\widehat{f}(x_n(a))\rangle_k. \tag{4.4}$$

Here we are making use of the fact that $\rho_{k,p}(t)$ acts on $\mathbf{v}_m$ by $(\overline{t}/t)^m$ for $t\in(K\otimes\mathbf{Q}_p)^\times$. A direct computation shows that $\rho_{k,p}((\varsigma_p^{(n)})^{-1}))=\rho_k(Z_p)$, where

$$Z_p=\begin{pmatrix}p^{-n} & \sqrt{\beta}(p^{-n}+\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\delta)\\ -\sqrt{\beta}^{-1}p^{-n} & -p^{-n}+\boldsymbol{\theta}\delta\end{pmatrix})\text{ if } p \text{ is split in } K$$

and

$$Z_p=\begin{pmatrix}p^{-n}+\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & \sqrt{\beta}(p^{-n}+\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^2)\\ -\sqrt{\beta}^{-1}(p^{-n}+\boldsymbol{\theta}^2) & -p^{-n}-\mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\end{pmatrix}\text{ if } p \text{ is non-split in } K.$$

Note that $\det Z_p=-p^{-n}D_K$,

$$p^nZ_p\in M_2(\mathcal{O}_{K_p}),\quad p^nZ_p\equiv\begin{pmatrix}1 & \sqrt{\beta}\\ -\sqrt{\beta}^{-1} & -1\end{pmatrix}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^n\mathcal{O}_{K_p}).$$

For $P(X,Y)\in L_k(A)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}p^{\frac{n(k-2)}{2}}D_K^{\frac{k-2}{2}}\cdot\rho_{k,p}((\varsigma_p^{(n)})^{-1})P(X,Y)=&p^{\frac{n(k-2)}{2}}D_K^{\frac{k-2}{2}}P((X,Y)Z_p)(\det Z_p)^{\frac{2-k}{2}}\\ =&(-1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}}P((X,Y)(p^nZ_p))\in L_k(A).\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$p^{\frac{n(k-2)}{2}}\rho_{k,p}((\varsigma_p^{(n)})^{-1})\mathbf{v}_m^*\equiv(-\sqrt{\beta})^{\frac{2-k}{2}}(\sqrt{\beta}X-Y)^{k-2}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^n).$$

In view of (4.4), the assertions of the proposition follow immediately . □

We make the following ordinary hypothesis:

(ord) $f_{\pi'}$ is p-normalized, and the p-adic valuation of the U_p-eigenvalue $\mathrm{ord}_p(\alpha_p)=\dfrac{2-k}{2}$.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that $p>k-2$ and* (ord) *holds. Then $\Theta_n^{[m]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)\in\mathcal{O}_{\pi,p}[\mathcal{G}_n]$. Moreover,*

$$\Theta_n^{[m]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)\equiv\Theta_n^{[0]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)\ (mod\ p^n\mathcal{O}_{\pi,p}[\mathcal{G}_n]).$$

Proof. By definition, if $\sigma=[a]_n$, then

$$\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(\sigma(P_n^\dagger))=\alpha_p^{-n}\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(x_n(a))-\alpha_p^{-2}(\alpha_p^{-(n-1)}\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(x_{n-1}(a))).$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 to $f=f_{\pi'}$, we find that

- $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(\sigma(P_n^\dagger))\in\mathcal{O}_{\pi,p}$,
- $\varphi_{\pi'}^{[m]}(\sigma(P_n^\dagger))\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^n)$ is independent of m.

The corollary follows immediately. ☐

Let $\mathcal{G}_\infty := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_n$. Let $\Gamma^- \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p$ be the maximal $\mathbf{Z}_p$-free quotient group of $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ and let Δ be the torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_\infty$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_\infty \longrightarrow \Gamma^- \longrightarrow 0.$$

Fix a non-canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_\infty \simeq \Delta \times \Gamma^-$ once and for all. If $n \geq 1$, the map $\Delta \to \mathcal{G}_\infty \to \mathcal{G}_n$ is injective, and hence

$$\mathcal{G}_n \simeq \Delta \times \Gamma_n^-, \; \Gamma^- \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_n^- := \mathcal{G}_n / \Delta.$$

Let χ_t be a branch character, i.e. a character $\chi_t : \Delta \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$ and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\pi,p}[\chi_t]$. Define the χ_t-branch of $\Theta_n^{[0]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)$ by

$$\Theta_n(f_{\pi'}^\dagger, \chi_t) := \chi_t(\Theta_n^{[0]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)) \in \mathcal{O}[\Gamma_n^-].$$

We define

$$\Theta_\infty(\pi) := \left\{ \Theta_n^{[0]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger) \right\}_n \in \mathcal{O}[\![\mathcal{G}_\infty]\!]; \quad \Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t) := \left\{ \Theta_n(f_{\pi'}^\dagger, \chi_t) \right\}_n = \chi_t(\Theta_\infty(\pi)) \in \mathcal{O}[\![\Gamma^-]\!].$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$ be the set of critical specializations defined in the introduction. Note that $\mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$ consists of the p-adic avatars of Hecke characters χ of p-power conductor satisfying (crit) and trivial on Δ.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\widehat{\nu} \in \mathfrak{X}_p^{\mathrm{crit}}$ be a p-adic character of weight $(m, -m)$ and conductor p^s. We have the interpolation formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu}(\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t)^2) = & \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + m)\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - m) \cdot \frac{L(f_\pi/K, \chi_t\nu, \frac{k}{2})}{\Omega_{\pi,N^-}} \cdot e_p(\pi, \chi_t\nu)^{2-\mathrm{ord}_p(N)} \cdot p^s A_p^{-2s} (p^s D_K)^{k-2} \\ & \times u_K^2 \sqrt{D_K} \cdot \epsilon(\pi_p)(-1)^m \prod_{q \mid (D_K, N^-),\, q = \mathfrak{q}^2} (1 - \epsilon(\pi_q)\chi_t(\mathfrak{q})) \cdot \chi_t\nu(\mathfrak{N}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $n_0 = \max\{s, 1\}$. Let $r > n_0$ and let $n > r$ such that $\widehat{\nu} \pmod{p^r}$ is trivial on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^\times$. Let $\chi = \chi_t\nu$. By Cor. 4.5, we have

$$\widehat{\nu}(\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t)) \equiv \chi(\Theta_n^{[0]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)) \equiv \chi(\Theta_n^{[m]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)) = \chi(\Theta_{n_0}^{[m]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger)) \pmod{p^r}.$$

This congruence relation holds for all $r > n_0$. Therefore, $\widehat{\nu}(\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t)) = \chi(\Theta_{n_0}^{[m]}(f_{\pi'}^\dagger))$, and the theorem follows from Prop. 4.3. ☐

Remark 4.7. The theta element $\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t)$ is the *square root* of the anticyclotomic p-adic L-function associated to (π, χ_t). In view of the evaluation formula, we assume the following local root number condition in the remainder of this article:

(ST) $\qquad \epsilon(\pi_q)\chi_t(\mathfrak{q}) = -1$ for every $q \mid (D_K, N^-)$ with $q = \mathfrak{q}^2$.

Note that (ST) is always satisfied if $(D_K, N^-) = 1$.

Let $* : \mathcal{O}[\![\mathcal{G}_\infty]\!] \to \mathcal{O}[\![\mathcal{G}_\infty]\!]$ be the involution defined by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$. We show that $\Theta_\infty(\pi)$ satisfies the functional equation in the following sense.

Theorem 4.8. *Let r_0 be the number of prime divisors of (D_K, N^-). Let*

$$\epsilon' := (-1)^{r_0 + \frac{k}{2}} \prod_{q \nmid p D_K} \epsilon(\pi_q) \in \{\pm 1\}$$

and let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{N}^+} = \{[\mathfrak{N}^+]_n\}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{N}^+$ in $\mathcal{G}_\infty$. We have the functional equation:

$$\Theta_\infty(\pi)^* = \epsilon' \cdot \Theta_\infty(\pi) \cdot \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}^+}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_{\pi'} = \varphi_{\pi'}^{[0]}$. Using the automorphy of $\varphi_{\pi'}$, we have

$$\varphi_{\pi'}(x_n(a^{-1})) = \varphi_{\pi'}^\dagger(x_n(\overline{a})) = \varphi_{\pi'}(x_n(a) J_{\varsigma,n}) \quad (J_\varsigma^{(n)} = (\varsigma^{(n)})^{-1} J \varsigma^{(n)})).$$

By the choice of J and Lemma 3.7, it is straightforward to show that

$$\pi(J_{\varsigma,n})\varphi_{\pi'}(Q) = \epsilon' \cdot \varphi_{\pi'}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{N}^+}(Q)) \text{ for } Q \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta_n(\pi)^* = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_n} \varphi_{\pi'}(\sigma^{-1}(P_n^\dagger))\sigma = \epsilon'\cdot\Theta_n(\pi)\cdot[\mathfrak{N}^+]_n^{-1}.$$

This proves the theorem. □

Remark. Note that $\epsilon(\pi_\infty)=(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}$. If $\chi_t=\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character, then $\epsilon(\pi_q)=-1$ for all $q|N^-$ by (ST). It follows that

$$\epsilon'=(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}\prod_{q\neq p}\epsilon(\pi_q)=\epsilon(\pi)\epsilon(\pi_p).$$

5. The non-vanishing of theta elements modulo ℓ

5.1. We retain the notation in the previous section. Throughout, we suppose that $f_{\pi'}$ is ℓ-normalized. The purpose of this section is to study the non-vanishing properties of theta elements $\left\{\Theta_n(f^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi_t)\right\}_n$ modulo λ. Let Δ^{alg} be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ generated by the image of $K^\times_{\mathrm{ram}}:=\prod_{q|D_K}K_q^\times$. It is clear that Δ^{alg} is a $(2,\cdots,2)$-subgroup of Δ. Let $\mathcal{D}_0$ be a set of representatives of Δ^{alg} in $K^\times_{\mathrm{ram}}$. Choose an arbitrary set $\mathcal{D}_1$ of representatives of $\Delta/\Delta^{\mathrm{alg}}$ in $\widehat{K}^\times$. Then $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_0$ be a set of representatives of Δ in $\widehat{K}^\times$. By definition, we can write

$$\Theta_n(f^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi_t)=\sum_{[u]_n\in\Gamma_n^-}\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\chi_t(\tau)\left(\alpha_p^{-n}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{D}_0}\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}(x_n(\tau u)d)\chi_t(d)\right)\cdot[u]_n\quad(\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}=\Psi(\mathbf{v}_0^*\otimes f^\dagger_{\pi'})).\tag{5.1}$$

5.2. Uniform distribution of CM points. We recall a crucial result in [CV05]. Let $\overline{K}^\times$ the closure of $K^\times$ in $\widehat{K}^\times$ and let $\overline{B}^\times$ be the closure of $B^\times$ in $\widehat{B}^\times$. Let $\mathrm{CM}:=\overline{K}^\times\backslash\widehat{B}^\times$, $\mathcal{X}:=\overline{B}^\times\backslash\widehat{B}^\times$ and $\mathcal{Z}:=\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_+\backslash\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$. The group $\widehat{B}^\times$ acts on these spaces by the right translation and $\widehat{K}^\times$ acts on CM an $\mathcal{Z}$ by the left multiplication.

Let $\mathrm{Red}:\mathrm{CM}\to\mathcal{X}$ be the natural quotient map and let $c:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Z}$ be the map induced by the reduced norm $\mathrm{N}:B^\times\to\mathbf{Q}^\times$. For $g\in\widehat{B}^\times$, let $[g]$ denote the image of g in CM. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open compact subgroup of $\widehat{B}^\times$. Put

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{U})=\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{U}\text{ and }\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{U})=\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\mathcal{Z}/\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Define

$$\mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}:\mathrm{CM}\longrightarrow\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{U}),\quad x\mapsto(\mathrm{Red}(\tau\cdot x)\mathcal{U})_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}$$

and

$$c_{\mathcal{D}_1}:\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{U})\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{U}),\quad(x_\tau)_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\mapsto(\mathrm{N}(x_\tau))_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}.$$

The following proposition is a special case of [CV05, Cor. 2.10].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $B_p^\times$-orbit in* CM *and let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ be the image of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathrm{CM}/\mathcal{U}$. Then for all but finitely $x\in\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times\cdot x)=c_{\mathcal{D}_1}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times\cdot\overline{x}),$$

where $\overline{x}=c_{\mathcal{D}_1}\circ\mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}(x)$.

Proof. This is [CV05, Cor. 2.10] with $\mathfrak{S}=\{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathfrak{R}=\mathcal{D}_1$. □

The following corollary is a immediate consequence of the above proposition.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\{\beta_\tau\}_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}$ be a sequence in A such that $\beta_{\tau_1}\in A^\times$ for some τ_1. Let $f\in\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U},A)$. Suppose that*

(1) f is not Eisenstein;
(2) $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U})\supset\prod_{q|D_K}\mathbf{Z}_q^\times$.

Then there exists an integer n_0 such that for every $n>n_0$, we have

$$\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\beta_\tau\cdot f(x_n(a\tau))\neq 0\textit{ for some }a\in\widehat{K}^\times.$$

Proof. Let $P_0 := [\varsigma^{(0)}] \in \mathrm{CM}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = P_0 \cdot B_p^\times$ be the $B_p^\times$-orbit of P_0. Then $P_n := P_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$, and from (2.8) we find that the image of $\{P_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$ are distinct in $\widehat{K}^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times$. By Prop. 5.1, there exists n_0 such that

$$\mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times P_n) = c_{\mathcal{D}_1}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times \overline{P_n}) \text{ for every } n > n_0. \tag{5.2}$$

Fix $n > n_0$. Since f is not Eisenstein, $f(y) \neq f(z)$ for some $y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $c(y) = c(z)$. The assumption (2) implies that the norm map $\mathrm{N} : \widehat{K}^\times \to \mathcal{Z}/\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U})$ is surjective as the class number of $\mathbf{Q}$ is one. Hence, replacing $\mathcal{D}_1$ by $a\mathcal{D}_1$ for some $a \in \widehat{K}^\times$ if necessary, we may assume

$$c(y) = c(z) = c(\mathrm{Red}(\tau_1 P_n)) \pmod{\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U})}.$$

Take $(w_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_1} \in c_{\mathcal{D}_1}^{-1}(\overline{P_n})$. By (5.2), there exist $a_1, a_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times$ such that

$$\mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}(a_1 P_n) = (y, w_{\tau_2}, \cdots)\,;\, \mathrm{Red}_{\mathcal{D}_1}(a_2 P_n) = (z, w_{\tau_2}, \cdots).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_1} \beta_\tau \cdot f(x_n(a_1\tau)) - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_1} \beta_\tau \cdot f(x_n(a_2\tau)) = \beta_{\tau_1}(f(y) - f(z)) \neq 0.$$

It is clear that either a_1 or a_2 does the job. □

5.3. Eisenstein functions. Let A be a $\mathbf{Z}$-algebra. Let U be an open-compact subgroup of $\widehat{B}^\times$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_2(U, A)$ the set of functions $h : B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times \to A$ such that h is right invariant by U. Let $\mathcal{M}_2(A) := \varinjlim_{U \subset \widehat{B}^\times} \mathcal{M}_2(U, A)$ be the space of smooth A-valued functions on $B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times$. We write $\varrho : \widehat{B}^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{M}_2(A)$ for the right translation of $\widehat{B}^\times$.

Definition 5.3. Let $B^1 = \{g \in B^\times \mid \mathrm{N}(g) = 1\}$ be an algebraic group over $\mathbf{Q}$. Put

$$\mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}} := \left\{h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A) \mid \varrho(g_1)h = h \text{ for all } g_1 \in B^1(\mathbf{A}_f)\right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}$ is a $\widehat{B}^\times$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{M}_2(A)$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_2(A) := \mathcal{M}_2(A)/\mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_2(U, A)$ denote the image of $\mathcal{M}_2(U, A)$ in $\mathcal{S}_2(A)$.

A function $h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)$ is called *Eisenstein* if $h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}$. Equivalently, h is Eisenstein if and only $h(g) = h_1(\mathrm{N}(g))$ for some smooth function $h_1 : \mathbf{Q}_+^\times \backslash \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times \to A$.

We make the following observations in the flavor of Ihara's lemma. The first one is taken from [Vat03, Prop. 5.3].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $q \nmid N^-$ be a finite place. Let $t_q \in B_q^\times$ such that $\mathrm{N}(t_q) = q$. Let $\mathcal{R}' \in \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{M}_2(A)$ be the endomorphism defined by*

$$\mathcal{R}' = 1 + \beta \cdot \varrho(t_q) \quad (\beta \in A).$$

Suppose that $U \supset R_q^\times := (R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_q)^\times = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q)$. Then $\mathcal{R}' : \mathcal{S}_2(U, A) \to \mathcal{S}_2(A)$ is injective.

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{M}_2(U, A)$. If $\mathcal{R}(h) \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}$, then it is easy to see that h is right invariant by $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q)$ and $t_q\,\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_q) t_q^{-1}$. By a theorem of Ihara, h is right invariant by $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ and hence by $B_1(\mathbf{A}_f)$ in virtue of the strong approximation theorem for B_1. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $q \nmid N^-$ be a finite place. Let $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_s \in A$ and let $\mathcal{R} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}_2(A))$ be the endomorphism defined by*

$$\mathcal{R} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i \cdot \varrho(\begin{pmatrix} q^{-i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Then $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}_2(U, A) \to \mathcal{S}_2(A)$ is injective.

PROOF. Let $h \in \mathcal{M}_2(U, A)$. We need to show that if

$$\mathcal{R}(h) = h + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i \cdot \varrho(\begin{pmatrix} q^{-i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}},$$

then $h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}$. Let $S_h \supset U$ be the stabilizer of h in $\widehat{B}^\times$. Namely,

$$S_h := \left\{ g \in \widehat{B}^\times \mid \varrho(g)h = h \right\}.$$

Let $N(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ be the unipotent radical of the upper triangular subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ and let

$$N' := N(\mathbf{Q}_q) \cap U \subset S_h.$$

Write $u := \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$P(u) = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i \varrho(u)^{i-1} \in \operatorname{End} \mathcal{M}_2(A)^{N'}.$$

By the assumption that $h - \varrho(u)P(u)h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}$, we find that for every positive integer n,

$$h - \varrho(u^n)P(u)^n \cdot h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)_{\mathrm{Eis}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Since h, $P(u)^n h \in \mathcal{M}_2(A)^{N'}$ and N' is a proper subgroup, using the identity $u^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^n x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we deduce from (5.3) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_h \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{Q}_q.$$

On the other hand, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_h$ for some $y \in \mathbf{Q}_q^\times$. By the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^{-1} \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we find $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^{-1} \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S_h$, and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y^2 x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \in S_h.$$

It follows that S_h contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_q) \cdot U$. By the strong approximation for B^1, we find that $B^1(\mathbf{A}_f) \subset S_h$. □

Let $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell})$ be the ℓ-adic Galois representation attached to f_π.

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that the residual Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda}$ is irreducible and $\ell > k - 2$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in L_k(\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell})$. If $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv 0 \,(mod\ \lambda)$, the function $f_{\mathbf{v}}(g) := \langle \mathbf{v}, \widehat{f}_{\pi'}(g) \rangle_k \,(mod\ \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}/\lambda)$ is not Eisenstein.*

PROOF. It follows from the irreducibility of $L_k(\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}/\lambda)$ as $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}/\lambda)$-module when $\ell > k-2$ that $f_{\mathbf{v}}$ is not a zero function. Therefore, if $f_{\mathbf{v}}$ is Eisenstein, then $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda}$ is reducible. □

5.4. The vanishing of μ-invariants. For each positive integer s, define the open compact subgroup $\mathcal{I}_1(p^s)$ of $\widehat{R}^\times$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_1(p^s) := \left\{ g \in \widehat{R}^\times \mid g_p \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^s} \right\}.$$

Let ϖ be a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{\pi,\ell}[\chi_t]$. Suppose that $\ell = p$. We follow the approach of Vatsal to study the μ-invariant of $\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t) \in \mathcal{O}[\![\Gamma^-]\!]$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let r_0 be as in Theorem 4.8. In addition to* (ST) *and* (ord), *we assume that*

(1) $p > k - 2$ and $p \nmid 2^{r_0}$,
(2) $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Then Iwasawa μ-invariant of $\Theta_\infty(\pi, \chi_t)$ vanishes.

Proof. We define a function $\mathbf{f}_p : B^\times\backslash\widehat{B}^\times/\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times \to \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_p(g) = (-\sqrt{\beta})^{\frac{2-k}{2}} \cdot \langle(\sqrt{\beta}X - Y)^{k-2}, \widehat{f}_{\pi'}(g)\rangle \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \varpi). \tag{5.4}$$

A direct computation shows that $\mathbf{f}_p \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{I}_1(p), \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ be the open-compact subgroup given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \left\{ g \in \widehat{R}^\times \mid g_q \in R_q^\times \cap \varpi_{K_q} R_q^\times \varpi_{K_q}^{-1} \text{ for all } q|D_K \right\},$$

where ϖ_{K_q} is a uniformizer of K_q. It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0}) \supset \prod_{q|D_K} \mathbf{Z}_q^\times. \tag{5.5}$$

Let $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \cap \mathcal{I}_1(p), \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})$ be the function defined by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(g) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_0} \mathbf{f}_p(gd)\chi_t(d) \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \varpi).$$

From Lemma 4.4, we can deduce that

$$\Theta_n(f_{\pi'}^\dagger, \chi_t) \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \varpi) = A_p^{-n} \sum_{[u]_n \in \Gamma_n^-} \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_1} \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger(x_n(u\tau))\chi_t(\tau) \right) \cdot [u]_n,$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger := \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} - p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} A_p^{-1} \cdot \varrho(\begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}, \; \mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \cap \mathcal{I}_1(p^2).$$

To prove the vanishing of the μ-invariant, we need to show that for $n \gg 0$, there exists $a \in \widehat{K}^\times$ such that

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_1} \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger(x_n(a\tau))\chi_t(\tau) \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \varpi),$$

and in turn, it suffices to verify the assumptions for $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger$ in Cor. 5.2. By (5.5), $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U}) \supset \prod_{q|D_K} \mathbf{Z}_q^\times$. By Lemma 5.6, $\mathbf{f}_p$ is not Eisenstein, which implies that $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})$ is not Eisenstein by the following Lemma 5.8. □

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{f}_p$ *is not Eisenstein. Then* $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger$ *is not Eisenstein.*

Proof. Let $q|D_K$ be a ramified place and ϖ_{K_q} be a uniformizer of $K_q^\times$. Put

$$\mathcal{R}_q' := 1 + \chi_t(\varpi_{K_q})\varrho(\varpi_{K_q}) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})).$$

Let $\{q_i\}_{i=1,\cdots s}$ are the set of prime divisors q of D_K with $q \nmid N^-$. By the assumption (ST), we have

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} = 2^{r_0} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{q_1}' \circ \mathcal{R}_{q_2}' \cdots \circ \mathcal{R}_{q_s}'(\mathbf{f}_p).$$

Applying Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we conclude that $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}^\dagger = \mathcal{R}_p(\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$ with $\mathcal{R}_p := 1 - \alpha_p^2 A_p \cdot \varrho(\begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$ is not Eisenstein if $\mathbf{f}_p \notin \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O})_{\mathrm{Eis}}$. □

5.5. The non-vanishing modulo ℓ with anticyclotomic twists.

Suppose that $\ell \neq p$. We prove the non-vanishing of central L-values modulo ℓ with anticyclotomic twists, using a Galois average trick of Sinnot in [Sin87].

Theorem 5.9. *In addition to* (ST), *suppose that*

1. $\ell \nmid 2^{r_0}pND_K$ *and* $\ell > k-2$,
2. $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda}$ *is absolutely irreducible.*

Then there exists an integer n_0 *such that*

$$\nu(\Theta_n(f^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi_t))\not\equiv 0\,(mod\ \lambda)$$

for all finite order characters $\nu:\Gamma^-\to\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$ *of conductor* p^n, $n>n_0$. *Therefore, it follows from Prop. 4.3 that for all but finitely* $\nu:\Gamma^-\to\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$, *we have*

$$\frac{L(f_\pi/K,\chi_t\nu,\frac{k}{2})}{\Omega_{\pi,N^-}}\not\equiv 0\,(mod\ \lambda).$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{f}^\dagger_{\pi'}$ be the ℓ-adic avatar of the p-stabilization $f^\dagger_{\pi'}$. Define $F_\ell: B^\times\backslash\widehat{B}^\times\to\mathcal{O}$ by

$$F_\ell(g)=\langle \mathbf{v}_K,\widehat{f}^\dagger_{\pi'}(g)\rangle_k.$$

Note that

$$\varphi^\dagger_{\pi'}(x_n(a))=F_\ell(x_n(a)),\ a\in\widehat{K}^\times.$$

Let $\mathbf{k}_\ell=\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}[\boldsymbol{\mu}_p]$ be the finite extension of the finite field $\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}$ generated by the values of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p$. Put

$$\mathcal{I}'=\left\{g\in\widehat{R}^\times\mid g\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \ell),\ g_p\in\mathcal{I}_p\right\}.$$

Then $F_\ell\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda)\in\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{I}',\mathbf{k}_\ell)$. Define $F_{\mathcal{D}_0}\in\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U}'_{\mathcal{D}_0},\mathbf{k}_\ell)$ by

$$F_{\mathcal{D}_0}(g):=\sum_{d\in\mathcal{D}_0}\chi_t(d)F_\ell(gd)\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda)\quad(\mathcal{U}'_{\mathcal{D}_0}=\mathcal{I}'\cap\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}_0}).$$

It is clear that $F_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ is invariant by the Iwahori subgroup $\mathcal{I}_p$ and is an U_p-eigenform with eigenvalue α_p. Let p^s be the order of the Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathbf{k}_\ell^\times$. Let $\nu:\Gamma_n^-\to\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$ be a character of conductor p^n with $n>s$ (so $\nu:\Gamma_n^-\hookrightarrow\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$ is injective). Put

$$C_n=\left\{\gamma\in\Gamma_n^-\mid\nu(\gamma)\in\mathbf{k}_\ell^\times\right\}.$$

Then we have $C_n=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{G}_n\to\mathcal{G}_{n-s})$. Let $\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu)$ be the field generated by the values of ν over $\mathbf{k}_\ell$. Since $\mathbf{k}_\ell$ contains $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p$, $d_\nu:=[\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu):\mathbf{k}_\ell]$ is a p-power, and for a p-power root of unity $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu)/\mathbf{k}_\ell}(\zeta)=\begin{cases}0 & \cdots\zeta\notin\mathbf{k}_\ell,\\ d_\nu & \cdots\zeta\in\mathbf{k}_\ell.\end{cases}$$

It follows from the above that for each $a\in\mathbf{A}^\times_{K,f}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu)/\mathbf{k}_\ell}(\alpha_p^n\chi_t\nu(a^{-1})\cdot\nu(\Theta_n(f^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi_t))\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda))\\ =&d_\nu\cdot\sum_{[u]_n\in C_n}\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}F_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x_n(a\tau u))\chi_t(\tau)\\ =&d_\nu\cdot\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}/p^s\mathbf{Z}}F_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x_n(a\tau)\begin{pmatrix}1&\frac{y}{p^s}\\0&1\end{pmatrix})\chi_t(d)\zeta_\nu^y\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

for some primitive p^s-th root of unity ζ_ν. Define $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}\in\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu))$ by

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(g):=\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}/p^s\mathbf{Z}}\zeta_\nu^y\varrho(\begin{pmatrix}1&\frac{y}{p^s}\\0&1\end{pmatrix})F_{\mathcal{D}_0}(g).$$

Then $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}\in\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{U}',\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu))$ for $\mathcal{U}'=\mathcal{I}_1(p^{2s})\cap\mathcal{U}'_{\mathcal{D}_0}$. We can rephrase (5.6) as

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{k}_\ell(\nu)/\mathbf{k}_\ell}(\alpha_p^n\chi_t\nu(a^{-1})\cdot\nu(\Theta_n(f^\dagger_{\pi'},\chi_t))\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda))=d_\nu\cdot\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{D}_1}\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x_n(a\tau))\chi_t(\tau).\tag{5.7}$$

We proceed to show that $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ is not Eisenstein. Under our assumptions, $F_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ is not Eisenstein by Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.8. A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{a\in(\mathbf{Z}/p^s\mathbf{Z})^\times}\varrho(\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}=&\sum_{a\in(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^s\mathbf{Z})^\times}\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}/p^s\mathbf{Z}}\zeta_\nu^{ay}\varrho(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac{y}{p^s}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})F_{\mathcal{D}_0}\\ =&p^s\cdot F_{\mathcal{D}_0}-p^{s-1}\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}}\varrho(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac{y}{p}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})F_{\mathcal{D}_0}\\ =&p^s\cdot(1-p^{-1}\alpha_p\cdot\varrho(\begin{pmatrix}p^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}))F_{\mathcal{D}_0}.\end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies that $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ is not Eisenstein by Lemma 5.5. On the other hand, it is clear that $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{U}')\supset\prod_{q|D_K}\mathbf{Z}_q^\times$ by (5.5). Hence, we can deduce the theorem from Cor. 5.2 in view of (5.7). □

6. THE COMPARISON BETWEEN PERIODS

In this section, we compare the periods Ω_{π,N^-} defined in (4.3) and Hida's canonical period Ω_π. Henceforth, we assume $\ell=p$ and $f_{\pi'}^\dagger$ is p-ordinary. Let $\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_0(N))$ be the Hecke algebra over $\mathcal{O}$ of the space of elliptic modular forms $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. Then π gives rise to an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism $\lambda_\pi:\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_0(N))\to\mathcal{O}$ such that $\lambda_\pi(T_q)=\operatorname{Tr}\rho_{\pi,p}(T_q)$ for all $q\nmid pN$. Let $\eta_\pi(N)$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-generator of the congruence ideal $I_\pi(N):=\lambda_\pi(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_0(N))}\operatorname{Ker}\lambda_\pi)\subset\mathcal{O}$. Then Hida's canonical period Ω_π is defined by

$$\Omega_\pi:=\frac{4^{k-1}\pi^k\|\varphi_\pi\|_{\Gamma_0(N)}}{\eta_\pi(N)}.$$

Here we are making use of the fixed embedding $\mathcal{O}\hookrightarrow\mathbf{C}_p\simeq\mathbf{C}$. In general, the ratio $\Omega_{\pi,N^-}/\Omega_\pi$ lies in $\mathcal{O}$. This section is devoted to showing that $\Omega_{\pi,N^-}/\Omega_\pi\in\mathcal{O}^\times$ in certain favorable situations. Let $G_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. For each place q, we fix a decomposition of group G_q in $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and let I_q be the inertia group in G_q. Let $\rho_0:=\bar{\rho}_{\pi,p}$ denote the residual Galois representation and let $N_\emptyset$ be the Artin conductor of ρ_0. Throughout, we assume the following:

Assumption 6.1. *(1) The prime $p>3$ and $p\nmid N$.*

(2) The restriction of ρ_0 to the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p})$ is absolutely irreducible.

(3) $N^-\mid N_\emptyset$.

(4) For each prime q such that $q^2\mid N$ and $q\equiv-1\,(mod\ p)$, $\rho_0|_{I_q}$ is irreducible.

When $k=2$ and N is square-free, under mild assumptions, Weston and Pollack [PW11, Thm. 6.8] give the formula of the ratio $\Omega_{\pi,N^-}/\Omega_\pi$ in terms of local Tamagawa numbers at primes dividing N^-. They do not need to assume the ordinary hypothesis, but their approach does not seem applicable currently if $k>2$. Nonetheless, it is pointed out in [PW11] that the statement $\Omega_{\pi,N^-}/\Omega_\pi\in\mathcal{O}^\times$ is equivalent to the freeness of spaces of modular forms on B over the associated Hecke algebra. Therefore, it is natural to study the comparison between periods Ω_{π,N^-} and Ω_π by the standard techniques developed by Wiles, Taylor-Wiles, Diamond and Fujiwawa in the proof of "$R=T$" theorems. We shall impose an additional technical hypothesis:

(Dist) If $q\parallel N^+$ and $q\equiv1\,(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$, then $q\mid N_\emptyset$.

Proposition 6.2. *With Assumption 6.1 and* (Dist)*, then the congruence ideal $I_\pi(N)$ is generated by $\langle f_{\pi'},f_{\pi'}\rangle_R$. In other words, $\Omega_{\pi,N^-}=u\cdot\Omega_\pi$ for some unit $u\in\mathcal{O}^\times$.*

We prepare the notation and recall some basic facts in the first two subsections and carry out the proof of Prop. 6.2 in §6.3.

6.1. Hecke algebras and congruence ideals. For an open-compact subgroup $U\subset\widehat{B}^\times$, put $\mathcal{S}(U):=\mathcal{M}_k(U,\mathcal{O})$. For $g\in\widehat{B}^\times$, Let $[U_1gU_2]\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}(U_2),\mathcal{S}(U_1))$ be the Hecke operator defined by

$$[U_1gU_2]f(g)=\sum_i\rho_{k,p}(g_i)f(gg_i)\quad(U_1gU_2=\bigsqcup_{g_i}g_iU_2).$$

Let M be a positive integer. We put $\mathcal{U}_M := \widehat{R}_M^\times$ and $\mathcal{S}(M) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}_M)$. If $q \nmid M$, let T_q denote the operator

$$[\mathcal{U}_M \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_M],$$

and if $q \mid M$, let

$$U_q = \left[\mathcal{U}_M \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_M\right] \text{ for } q \nmid N^- \text{ and } U_q = [\mathcal{U}_M \varpi_q \mathcal{U}_M] \text{ for } q \mid N^-,$$

where $\varpi_q \in B_q^\times$ such that $\mathrm{N}(\varpi_q) = q$. Let $\mathbb{T}(M)$ be the Hecke algebra generated over $\mathcal{O}$ by Hecke operators T_q for $q \nmid M$ and U_q for $q|M$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}\, \mathcal{S}(M)$. Define the perfect pairing $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_M : \mathcal{S}(M) \times \mathcal{S}(M) \to \mathcal{O}$ by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_M := \sum_{[g]} \langle f_1(g), f_2(g\tau^M) \rangle_k \cdot (\sharp(B^\times \cap g\mathcal{U}_M g^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times)/\mathbf{Q}^\times))^{-1}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $[g]$ runs over $B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \mathcal{U}_M \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$. It is easy to verify that

$$\langle tf_1, f_2 \rangle_M = \langle f_1, tf_2 \rangle_M \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}(M).$$

The Jacquet-Langlands correspondence induces a surjective homomorphism $JL^* : \mathbb{T}(\Gamma_0(N)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}(N)$. Let $\lambda_{\pi'} : \mathbb{T}(N) \to \mathcal{O}$ be the $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism induced by π'. Then we have $\lambda_\pi = JL^* \circ \lambda_{\pi'}$. By the level lowering (*cf.* [Jar99]), there exists a minimal modular lift $\lambda_\emptyset : \mathbb{T}(N_\emptyset) \to \mathcal{O}$ such that $\lambda_\emptyset(T_q) \equiv \lambda_{\pi'}(T_q) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}}$ for all $q \nmid N$ ($\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O} \cap \lambda$). We write

$$N = N_\emptyset \prod_q q^{m_q}.$$

Under Assumption 6.1 and (Dist), it is known (*cf.* [DT94, p.435-436]) that

- $m_q \leq 2$;
- $m_q = 0$ unless $q \mid N^+$ and $q \nmid N_\emptyset$;
- If $m_q = 1$, then $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Let Σ be a subset of prime factors of $N/N_\emptyset$. Set $N_\Sigma := N_\emptyset \cdot \prod_{q \in \Sigma} q^{m_q}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}(N_\Sigma)$ generated by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}},\ T_q - \lambda_\emptyset(T_q) \text{ for } q \nmid N_\Sigma,\ U_q - \lambda_{\pi'}(U_q) \text{ for } q \mid N_\Sigma.$$

Let $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma := \mathbb{T}(N_\Sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$ be the localization at $\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$.

Lemma 6.3. *(1) If $q^2 \mid N_\Sigma$, then the Hecke operator $U_q = 0$ in $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$.*
(2) The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ is reduced.

PROOF. Part (1) is clear if $q^2 \mid N_\emptyset$, and if $q^2 \mid N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset$, it is proved in [Tay06, Cor. 1.8] (*cf.* [Wil95, Prop.2.15]). To prove part (2), it suffices to show that U_q are semisimple elements in $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ for $q \mid N_\Sigma$. This is clear for $q \mid N_\emptyset$ or $q^2 \mid N_\Sigma$ by part (1), and it follows from the assumption (Dist) for $q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset$. ☐

Let $\varepsilon_p : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ be the p-adic cyclotomic character. It is well known that there exists a Galois representation

$$\rho_\Sigma : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_\Sigma)$$

such that

- ρ_Σ is unramified outside pN_Σ,
- $\mathrm{Tr}\, \rho_\Sigma(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = T_q$ for all $q \nmid pN_\Sigma$ and $\det \rho_\Sigma = \varepsilon_p$,
- There exists a character $\delta_p : G_p \to \mathbb{T}_\Sigma^\times$ such that
$$\rho_\Sigma|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p^{-1}\varepsilon_p & * \\ 0 & \delta_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \delta_p|_{I_p} = \varepsilon^{(2-k)/2},$$
- for each $q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset$, there exists a character $\delta_{\Sigma,q} : G_q \to \mathbb{T}_\Sigma^\times$ such that
$$\rho_\Sigma|_{G_q} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{\Sigma,q}^{-1}\varepsilon_p & * \\ 0 & \delta_{\Sigma,q} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \delta_{\Sigma,q}(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = U_q.$$

Here Frob_q is the arithmetic Frobenious at q.

Let $q \mid N/N_\emptyset$ such that $q \notin \Sigma$. We define an element $u_{\Sigma,q} \in \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ and a level-raising map $L_q : \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}$ as follows. If $m_q = 2$, set $u_{\Sigma,q} := 0$ and define

$$L_q(f) = qf - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} T_q f + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix} f.$$

If $m_q = 1$, then the Hecke polynomial $P_q(X) = X^2 - T_q X + q \in \mathbb{T}_\Sigma[X]$ is congruent to $(X-q)(X-1)$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$. Since $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, there exists a unique root $u_{\Sigma,q} \in \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ of $P_q(X)$ such that $u_{\Sigma,q} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$ by Hensel's lemma. Define

$$L_q(f) = u_{\Sigma,q} f - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} f. \tag{6.2}$$

In either case, it is easy to verify that $U_q \circ L_q = L_q \circ u_{\Sigma,q}$. Moreover, L_q induces a surjective map $\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}} \to \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$, sending U_q to $u_{\Sigma,q}$ by the following lemma:

Lemma 6.4. *The map L_q is injective, and $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}/L_q(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module.*

Proof. This is [Tay06, Lemma 3.1] (*cf.* Lemma 5.5). □

The above construction gives rise to a homomorphism $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_\emptyset$. If $\lambda : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism, we write I_λ for the kernel of λ and put

$$\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda] := \{x \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \mid I_\lambda x = 0\}.$$

Let $\lambda_\Sigma : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \to \mathbb{T}_\emptyset \xrightarrow{\lambda_\emptyset} \mathcal{O}$ be the composition. The $\mathcal{O}$-module $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]$ is free of rank one by the strong multiplicity one theorem and the reducedness of $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp$ be the $\mathcal{O}$-module defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp = \{x \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma] \otimes_\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F} \mid \langle x, y\rangle_{N_\Sigma} \in \mathcal{O} \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp \supset \mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]$. We let $C(N_\Sigma) := \mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp/\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]$ be the congruence module of λ_Σ and let $\eta_\Sigma = \lambda_\Sigma(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}_\Sigma} I_{\lambda_\Sigma})$ be the congruence ideal of λ_Σ. It is known that

$$\sharp C(N_\Sigma) \le \sharp(\mathcal{O}/\eta_\Sigma) \tag{6.3}$$

and the equality holds if $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma$ is free over $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ (so $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ is Gorenstein).

Lemma 6.5. *If $q \parallel N/N_\emptyset$ and $q \notin \Sigma$, then we have*

$$\sharp C(N_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}) = \sharp C(N_\Sigma) \cdot \sharp(\mathcal{O}/(\lambda_\emptyset(u_{\emptyset,q})^2 - 1)\mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. Note that $\lambda_\emptyset(u_{\emptyset,q}) \neq 1$ as $\lambda_\emptyset$ is unramified outside $N_\emptyset$. Let $L_q^* : \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}$ be the adjoint map of L_q with respect to $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{N_\Sigma}$ and $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{N_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}}$. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that

$$L_q(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]) = \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}[\lambda_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}]\,;\; L_q^*(\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}[\lambda_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}]^\perp) = \mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp. \tag{6.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{N_\Sigma}$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_{N_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}}$. A direct computation shows that

$$L_q^* = u_{\Sigma,q} \cdot [\mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1] - [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_1].$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} L_q^* \circ L_q =& u_{\Sigma,q} \cdot [\mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}] \cdot u_{\Sigma,q} - u_{\Sigma,q} \cdot [\mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}] \cdot (1+q) - [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}] \cdot (1+q)u_{\Sigma,q} + [\mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}] \\ =& (1 + u_{\Sigma,q}^2) \cdot T_q - 2u_{\Sigma,q} \cdot (1+q) \\ =& u_{\Sigma,q}^{-1}(u_{\Sigma,q}^2 - 1)(u_{\Sigma,q}^2 - q). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{\Sigma,q}^2 - q \equiv 1 - q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$, by (6.4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp C(N_{\Sigma\cup\{q\}}) &= \sharp C(N_\Sigma) \cdot \sharp(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma]^\perp / L_q^* \circ L_q(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_\Sigma])) \\ &= \sharp C(N_\Sigma)) \cdot \sharp\mathcal{O}/(\lambda_\emptyset(u_{\emptyset,q})^2 - 1)\mathcal{O}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.2. Deformation rings and Selmer groups. We introduce certain deformation ring. Recall that ρ is a deformation of ρ_0 if $\rho \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m}_A) \simeq \rho_0$. Consider the functor $\mathfrak{D}_\Sigma$ from local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebras with the residual field $\mathbf{k}$ to sets which sends A with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_A$ to the isomorphism classes of deformations $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ of ρ_0 satisfying:

- (D1) $\det \rho = \varepsilon_p$;
- (D2) ρ is minimally ramified outside Σ in the sense of [Dia97a, Def. 3.1];
- (D3) There exists a character $\delta_p : G_q \to A^\times$ such that
$$\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p^{-1}\varepsilon_p & * \\ 0 & \delta_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \delta_p|_{I_p} = \varepsilon_p^{(2-k)/2};$$
- (D4) For each $q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset$, there exists a unramified character $\delta_q : G_q \to A^\times$ such that
$$\rho|_{G_q} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_q^{-1}\varepsilon_p & * \\ 0 & \delta_q \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \delta_q(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m}_A).$$

Under the assumption (Dist), it is a standard fact that $\mathfrak{D}_\Sigma$ is represented by a universal deformation

$$\rho_{R_\Sigma} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_\Sigma).$$

The universal property of R_Σ gives rise to $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphisms $R_\Sigma \to R_\emptyset$ and $R_\Sigma \to \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ under which ρ_{R_Σ} pushes forward to $\rho_{R_\emptyset}$ and ρ_Σ respectively.

Lemma 6.6. *The map $R_\Sigma \to \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ is a surjection.*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 6.3 that $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ is generated by T_q for $q \nmid N_\Sigma$ and U_q for $q \parallel N_\Sigma$. If $q \nmid N_\Sigma$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}\, \rho_{R_\Sigma}(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \mapsto T_q$. If $q \parallel N_\Sigma$, then

$$\rho_{R_\Sigma}|_{G_q} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{R_\Sigma,q}^{-1}\varepsilon_p & * \\ & \delta_{R_\Sigma,q} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for a unramified character } \delta_{R_\Sigma,q} : G_q \to R_\Sigma^\times$$

such that $\delta_{R_\Sigma,q}(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m}_{R_\Sigma})$, and we have $\delta_{R_\Sigma,q}(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \mapsto U_q$. □

Let $\wp_\Sigma$ be the kernel of the $\mathcal{O}$-algebra morphism:

$$R_\Sigma \to R_\emptyset \to \mathbb{T}_\emptyset \xrightarrow{\lambda_\emptyset} \mathcal{O}.$$

Let W_ρ denote the discrete Galois module $\mathrm{ad}^0\, \rho_{\lambda_\emptyset} \otimes K/\mathcal{O}$. Define the subspace W_ρ^+ by

$$W_\rho^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{O} \right\} \subset W_\rho = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Define the Selmer group by

$$Sel_\Sigma(W_\rho) := \ker \left\{ H^1(\mathbf{Q}, W_\rho) \to \prod_{q \notin \Sigma} \frac{H^1(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho)}{H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho)} \times \prod_{q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset} \frac{H^1(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho)}{H^1(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho^+)} \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that we have an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2, \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{O}) \simeq Sel_\Sigma(W_\rho). \tag{6.5}$$

Let Q_2 be the set of primes with $m_q = 2$.

Lemma 6.7. *We have a natural inclusion map*

$$H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_q, W_\rho^+).$$

In particular, if $\Sigma \supset Q_2$, then we have an exact sequence

$$\sharp(Sel_\Sigma(W_\rho))/\sharp(Sel_{Q_2}(W_\rho)) \mid \prod_{q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset} \sharp(H^1(I_q, W_\rho^+)^{G_q}).$$

Proof. Let $q \parallel N/N_\emptyset$. Let $W_\rho^- := W_\rho/W_\rho^+$ be a discrete G_q-moduleof $\mathcal{O}$-corank one. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_f(G_q, W_\rho) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(G_q, W_\rho) \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"] & H^1(I_q, W_\rho)^{G_q} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1(G_q/I_q, W_\rho^-) \arrow[r] & H^1(G_q, W_\rho^-) \arrow[r] & H^1(I_q, W_\rho^-)^{G_q} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $q \not\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p)$, $H^0(G_q, W_\rho^-) = H^1(G_q/I_q, W_\rho^-) = 0$. It follows that $\ker \pi_1 = H^1(G_q, W_\rho^+)$ and $H^1(G_q/I_q, W_\rho^+) \simeq H^1_f(G_q, W_\rho)$. By the snake lemma, $H^1_f(G_q, W_\rho)$ is a submodule of $H^1(G_q, W_\rho^+)$. The second assertion follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to Sel_{Q_2}(W_\rho)) \to Sel_\Sigma(W_\rho) \to \prod_{q \parallel N_\Sigma/N_\emptyset} \frac{H^1(G_q, W_\rho^+)}{H^1_f(G_q, W_\rho)}$$

and the isomorphism

$$H^1(I_q, W_\rho^+)^{G_q} \simeq H^1(G_q, W_\rho^+)/H^1(G_q/I_q, W_\rho^+) \simeq H^1(G_q, W_\rho^+)/H^1_f(G_q, W_\rho). \qquad \square$$

Corollary 6.8. *If Σ is the set of prime factors of $N/N_\emptyset$, then we have*

$$\sharp(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2) \mid \sharp(\wp_{Q_2}/\wp_{Q_2}^2) \cdot \prod_{q \parallel N/N_\emptyset} \sharp(\mathcal{O}/(\lambda_\emptyset(u_{\emptyset,q})^2 - 1)\mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. By (6.5) and Lemma 6.7,

$$\sharp \ker(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2 \to \wp_{Q_2}/\wp_{Q_2}^2) = \sharp(Sel_\Sigma(W_\rho)/Sel_{Q_2}(W_\rho))$$

divides

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{q \parallel N/N_\emptyset} \sharp(H^1(I_q, W_\rho^+)^{G_q} &= \prod_{q \parallel N/N_\emptyset} \sharp H^0(D_q, W_\rho^+(-1)) \\ &= \prod_{q \parallel N/N_\emptyset} \sharp(\mathcal{O}/((q-1)(\lambda_\emptyset(u_{\emptyset,q})^2 - 1)\mathcal{O}). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

6.3. Proof of Prop. 6.2. Now we are ready to prove Prop. 6.2. Let Σ be the set of prime factors of $N/N_\emptyset$. By [Tay06, Thm. 3.2], we have

$$\sharp(\wp_{Q_2}/\wp_{Q_2}^2) = \sharp C(N_{Q_2}) = \sharp(\mathcal{O}/\eta_{Q_2}).$$

Combined with Lemma 6.5 and Cor. 6.8, this yields that

$$\sharp(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2) \mid \sharp C(N) \mid \sharp(\mathcal{O}/\eta_\Sigma).$$

By [Dia97b, Thm. 2.4], we conclude that $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma$ is a free $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$-module of rank one. By definition, $\langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'} \rangle_R = \langle \widehat{f}_{\pi'}, \widehat{f}_{\pi'} \rangle_{N_B}$. Assumption 6.1 (3) implies that $JL^* : \mathbb{T}(\Gamma_0(N))_\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal containing $\ker \lambda_\pi$. We thus conclude that

$$\sharp(\mathcal{O}/I_\pi(N)) = \sharp(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_{\pi'}]^\perp/\mathcal{S}_\Sigma[\lambda_{\pi'}]) = \sharp(\mathcal{O}/\langle f_{\pi'}, f_{\pi'} \rangle_R \mathcal{O}).$$

This completes the proof.

References

[BD96] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Heegner points on Mumford-Tate curves*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 3, 413–456.

[BD05] ———, *Iwasawa's main conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 1, 1–64.

[BDIS02] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, Adrian Iovita, and Michael Spiess, *Teitelbaum's exceptional zero conjecture in the anticyclotomic setting*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), no. 2, 411–449.

[CV05] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, *CM points and quaternion algebras*, Doc. Math. **10** (2005), 263–309.

[Dia97a] F. Diamond, *An extension of Wiles' results*, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 475–489.

[Dia97b] ———, *The Taylor-Wiles construction and multiplicity one*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 379–391.

[DT94] F. Diamond and R. Taylor, *Nonoptimal levels of mod l modular representations*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 3, 435–462.

[Hid81] H. Hida, *Congruence of cusp forms and special values of their zeta functions*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 2, 225–261.

[Jac72] H. Jacquet, *Automorphic forms on* GL(2)*. Part II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 278, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[Jar99] Frazer Jarvis, *Level lowering for modular mod l representations over totally real fields*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 1, 141–160.

[JL70] H. Jacquet and R. P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on* GL(2), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[LV10] M. Longo and S. Vigni, *On the vanishing of Selmer groups for elliptic curves over ring class fields*, J. Number Theory **130** (2010), no. 1, 128–163.

[PW11] R. Pollack and T. Weston, *On anticyclotomic μ-invariants of modular forms*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1353–1381.

[Sin87] W. Sinnott, *On a theorem of L. Washington*, Astérisque (1987), no. 147-148, 209–224, 344, Journées arithmétiques de Besançon (Besançon, 1985).

[SU10] C. Skinner and E. Urban, *The Iwasawa main conjectures for* GL_2, Preprint, November, 2010.

[Tay06] R. Taylor, *On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L-functions*, Doc. Math. (2006), no. Extra Vol., 729–779 (electronic).

[Vat02] V. Vatsal, *Uniform distribution of Heegner points*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 1, 1–46.

[Vat03] ———, *Special values of anticyclotomic L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **116** (2003), no. 2, 219–261.

[Wal85] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie*, Compositio Math. **54** (1985), no. 2, 173–242.

[Wil95] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551.

[Yua05] H. Yuan, *Special Vaule Formulae of Rankin-Selberg L-functions*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2005.

[Zha04] Shou-Wu Zhang, *Gross-Zagier formula for* GL(2)*. II*, Heegner points and Rankin L-series, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 49, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 191–214. MR 2083213 (2005k:11121)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA-OIWAKECHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN.

THE HAKUBI CENTER FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, YOSHIDA-USHINOMIYA-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO, 606-8302, JAPAN
E-mail address: `chida@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
E-mail address: `mlhsieh@math.ntu.edu.tw`